



MC: 483-619-356
1996 Technology Drive
Troy, Michigan 48083
(248) 680-5548
Fax (248) 680-5129

Remediation and Liability Management Company, Inc.

March 12, 2004

DRAFT
FOR REVIEW
FILE COPY

Mr. Kevin Holdwick
Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
Waste Management Division
P.O. Box 30241
Lansing, MI 48909-7741

Dear Mr. Holdwick:

Re: Monitoring Well Abandonment Work Plan
Former Peregrine (US) Inc. Coldwater Road Facility – Genesee Township, Michigan

This Monitoring Well Abandonment Work Plan (Work Plan) has been prepared in response to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) letter dated March 1, 2004. The Work Plan presents the Scope of Work for the monitoring well abandonments and meets MDEQ's requirement for submittal of a schedule for this work by March 19, 2004. MDEQ requires monitoring well abandonment activities to be completed by May 3, 2004, and a report documenting these activities to be submitted by June 1, 2004.

1.0 BACKGROUND

Monitoring wells have been installed at the Site as part of various environmental investigations by GM/REALM and Peregrine (US) Inc. (Peregrine). Monitoring well locations are presented on Figure 1 and well construction details are summarized in Table 1. All of the monitoring wells that are currently lost were installed by Peregrine during environmental investigations associated with the property transfer from GM in December 1996. The four Environmental Audit reports associated with Studies 1 through 4 were prepared at the Request of Counsel and are subject to the Attorney-Client and Environmental Audit privilege. To the best of GM/REALM's knowledge, no wells were installed as part of Studies 1 and 3. Monitoring wells were installed as part of Studies 2 and 4 and are discussed below. The Site reverted to REALM in 2000 after Peregrine declared bankruptcy.

- Monitoring well B-9 was installed by RMT (on behalf of GM) as part of the RCRA program at the Fisher Body Coldwater Plant. The well installation date is unknown, but would likely have been pre-1985 (Fisher Body became Fisher Guide in 1985). The well log is presented in Attachment A.
- Twelve wells (MW-1 through 4, MW-6, and MW-8 through 14) were installed by GaiaTech (on behalf of Peregrine) in August 1996 as part of Study 2. Well logs were not provided to GM/REALM. Wells were not installed at MW-5 and MW-7 because water was not encountered at these locations. Wells MW-11 and MW-12 were subsequently abandoned by OBG (on behalf of REALM) in 1998. Abandonment records for MW-11 and MW-12 are presented in Attachment B.
- Eleven wells (PFW-1 through 6 and PFW-8 through 12) were installed by RMT (on behalf of Peregrine) in March 1997 as part of Study 4 and these well logs are presented in Attachment C. No well was installed at PFB-7.

March 12, 2004

- Four monitoring wells (MW-1-02, MW-2-02, MW-3-02, and MW-4-02) were installed by CRA (on behalf of REALM) as part of the Groundwater Not In An Aquifer (GWNIAA) investigation conducted in October 2002. These well logs are presented in Attachment D.
- One monitoring well (DSA-MW-1) was installed by OBG (on behalf of REALM) as part of the RCRA Closure of the Drum Storage Area (DSA) conducted in 2002. This well log is presented in Attachment E.

The monitoring wells are summarized in Table 1.

2.0 WORK PLAN ACTIVITIES

2.1 TASK 1 – WELL INVENTORY

Table 1 presents a summary of the monitoring wells installed at the Site. Monitoring wells MW-11 and MW-12 were not located at the Site, but were installed as part of environmental investigations associated with the property transfer from GM to Peregrine so they have been included in Table 1. Well logs are presented in Attachments A through E for all wells except those installed by GaiaTech (on behalf of Peregrine) in August 1996. Monitoring well abandonment logs for MW-11 and MW-12 are presented in Attachment B.

Monitoring well logs were not provided to GM/REALM for monitoring wells installed by GaiaTech (on behalf of Peregrine). Peregrine went bankrupt in 2000 and therefore it is not anticipated that REALM will be able to obtain well logs from Peregrine. REALM will contact GaiaTech to determine if well logs were ever produced for MW-1 through 4, MW-6, and MW-8 through 14. REALM will endeavor to obtain these well logs, if they exist, and present them in the Well Abandonment Report (after the project is completed).

2.2 TASK 2 – FIELD RECONNAISSANCE

Figure 1 presents the locations of monitoring wells at the Site. With the exception of MW-1-02 through MW-4-02, the monitoring well coordinates were not surveyed and as a result the location shown is approximate. REALM will use a surveyor to identify the approximate monitoring well coordinates, and visually locate the wells in the field based on the approximate coordinates and the field observations of personnel who were present during previous activities at the Site.

2.3 TASK 3 – MONITORING WELL LOCATION

For monitoring wells that are not visible, soil will be removed from an area approximately 10-feet in each direction from the approximate location identified by the survey. Soil removal will continue in 1-foot depth increments until the monitoring well is located. If the monitoring well has not been located within approximately 4-foot depth, the outer edges of the area of soil removal will be expanded by approximately 5-feet in each direction.

2.4 TASK 4 – ABANDON WELLS

Monitoring wells installed by Peregrine will be abandoned in accordance with ASTM D5299-92 (see Attachment F) if no historical exceedances of MDEQ Industrial Drinking Water Criteria (see Table 1 and Figure 1) have been identified at that monitoring well. Abandonment will be conducted by pulling the monitoring well casing from the ground and pressure grouting the resulting hole. If the monitoring well casing cannot be pulled from the ground, either i) the casing and screen will be perforated or over-reamed and pressure grouted in place, or ii) the casing, screen and filter pack will be overdrilled and the resulting hole will be pressure grouted. Cement-bentonite grout will be used. In addition, monitoring wells located in the DSA will be removed during excavation of this area during an upcoming soil removal activity.

March 12, 2004

Confidential under FOIA
Alex Rothchild
LFR
Sep 30, 2009 09:39

2.5 TASK 5 – REPAIR MONITORING WELLS

Monitoring wells that have had historical exceedances of MDEQ Industrial Drinking Water Criteria (see Table 1 and Figure 1) will be repaired, if necessary. Repairs will be conducted by cutting the well casing off level, and extending the well casing using a PVC coupling (glue will not be used). Monitoring wells will be completed above-ground, with a protective casing extending at least 2-feet above the ground surface. Knock-down protection will also be installed. All monitoring wells not being abandoned will be surveyed.

2.6 TASK 6 – GROUNDWATER SAMPLING

Monitoring wells that have had historical exceedances of MDEQ Industrial Drinking Water Criteria (see Table 1 and Figure 1) will be resampled for the parameter(s) that historically exceeded the criteria. The monitoring wells will be redeveloped and purged prior to sampling.

2.7 TASK 7 – REPORTING

A Monitoring Well Abandonment Report will be prepared containing the following information:

- updated monitoring well inventory;
- updated figure showing surveyed monitoring well locations;
- monitoring well abandonment records;
- monitoring well installation logs (if available) updated for repaired wells; and
- groundwater sample results.

3.0 SCHEDULE

A schedule for the activities identified in this Work Plan is presented on Figure 2.

Yours truly,

Cheryl Hiatt
REALM Project Manager

SE/pw/18
Encl.

c.c.: Marilyn Dedyne, REALM
Jean Caufield, REALM
Glenn Turchan, CRA
Sylvie Eastman, CRA

Confidential under FOIA
Alex Rothchild
LFR
Sep 30, 2009 09:39





figure 1
 MONITORING WELL LOCATIONS AND GROUNDWATER CHEMISTRY RESULTS
 FORMER PEREGRINE (US), INC. COLDWATER ROAD FACILITY
 Genesee Township, Michigan

FIGURE 2
 WELL ABANDONMENT SCHEDULE
 FORMER PEREGRINE (US) INC. COLDWATER ROAD FACILITY - GENESEE TOWNSHIP, MICHIGAN



Confidential under FOIA
 Alex Rothchild
 LFR
 Sep 30, 2009 09:39

Project: WELL ABANDON SCHEDULE
 Date: Wed 3/10/04

Task	Task	Project Summary
Split	Rolled Up Task	External Milestone
Progress	Rolled Up Split	Deadline
Milestone	Rolled Up Milestone	
Summary	Rolled Up Progress	
	External Tasks	

TABLE 1
 WELL CONSTRUCTION DETAILS SUMMARY
 Former Peregrine (US) Inc. Coldwater Road Facility - Genesee Township, Michigan


Well ID	Construction ¹	Stratigraphic Unit	Investigation	Installed by ²	Status (Oct-02)	Abandoned by ²	Exceedances of Industrial Drinking Water Criteria	Recommendations
GM/REALM MONITORING WELLS								
1	B-9	2-in, 24-ft deep						
2	DSA-MW-1	2-in PVC, 14.7-ft deep, SU	RCRA Closure DSA	FB/D&M REALM/OBG	dry perched water	n/a n/a	no TCE and cis-1,2-DCE	no action abandon (concurrent with DSA soil removal activity)
3	MW-1-02	2-in PVC, 15-ft deep, SU		REALM/CRA	perched water	n/a	no	no action
4	MW-2-02	2-in PVC, 15-ft deep, SU	GWNIAA	REALM/CRA	perched water	n/a	no	no action
5	MW-3-02	2-in PVC, 15-ft deep, SU	GWNIAA	REALM/CRA	perched water	n/a	no	no action
6	MW-4-02	2-in PVC, 15-ft deep, SU	GWNIAA	REALM/CRA	perched water	n/a	no	no action
PEREGRINE MONITORING WELLS								
7	MW-1	2-in PVC, 25-ft deep, FM	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	perched water	n/a	no	abandon
8	MW-2	2-in PVC, 25-ft deep, FM	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	not found	n/a	no	locate and abandon
9	MW-3	2-in PVC, 25-ft deep, FM	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	perched water	n/a	lead	survey and sample
10	MW-4	2-in PVC, 25-ft deep, FM	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	not found	n/a	TCE and lead	locate, survey and sample
11	MW-5	n/a	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	no well installed	n/a	n/a	no action
12	MW-6	2-in PVC, 28-ft deep, FM	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	not found	n/a	no	locate and abandon
13	MW-7	n/a	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	no well installed	n/a	n/a	no action
14	MW-8	2-in PVC, 28-ft deep, FM	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	not found	n/a	no	locate and abandon
15	MW-9	2-in PVC, 32-ft deep, FM	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	not found	n/a	no	locate and abandon
16	MW-10	2-in PVC, 18-ft deep, FM	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	not found	n/a	no	locate and abandon
17	MW-11	2-in PVC, 20-ft deep, FM	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	not found	n/a	lead	locate, survey and sample
18	MW-12	2-in PVC, 25-ft deep, FM	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	abandoned	OBG	TCE	no action
19	MW-13	2-in PVC, 25-ft deep, FM	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	abandoned	OBG	TCE and lead	no action
20	MW-14	2-in PVC, 25-ft deep, FM	Study 2	Peregrine/GT	not found	n/a	TCE	locate, survey and sample
21	PFW-1	2-in PVC, 86.3-ft deep, SU	Study 4	Peregrine/RMT	drift aquifer	n/a	no	abandon (concurrent with DSA soil removal activity)
22	PFW-2	2-in PVC, 14.4-ft deep, SU	Study 4	Peregrine/RMT	perched water	n/a	no	survey and sample
23	PFW-3	2-in PVC, 15.7-ft deep, FM	Study 4	Peregrine/RMT	not found	n/a	no	abandon
24	PFW-4	2-in PVC, 13.4-ft deep, FM	Study 4	Peregrine/RMT	not found	n/a	no	locate and abandon
25	PFW-5	2-in PVC, 10.3-ft deep, SU	Study 4	Peregrine/RMT	not found	n/a	no	locate and abandon
26	PFW-6	2-in PVC, 13.7-ft deep, FM	Study 4	Peregrine/RMT	not found	n/a	TCE	abandon (concurrent with DSA soil removal activity)
27	PFW-7	n/a	Study 4	Peregrine/RMT	no well installed	n/a	n/a	no action
28	PFW-8	2-in PVC, 14.6-ft deep, FM	Study 4	Peregrine/RMT	not found	n/a	no	locate and abandon
29	PFW-9	2-in PVC, 9.2-ft deep, SU	Study 4	Peregrine/RMT	perched water	n/a	lead	survey and sample
30	PFW-10	2-in PVC, 16.7-ft deep, FM	Study 4	Peregrine/RMT	perched water	n/a	barium	survey and sample
31	PFW-11	2-in PVC, 10.6-ft deep, FM	Study 4	Peregrine/RMT	not found	n/a	no	locate and abandon
32	PFW-12	2-in PVC, 20.2-ft deep, FM	Study 4	Peregrine/RMT	not found	n/a	no	locate and abandon

¹ SU = stick-up, FM = flush-mounted
² FB = Fisher Body (a division of GM), D&M = Dames & Moore, GT = GaiaTech, OBG = O'Brien & Gere, CRA = Conestoga-Rovers & Associates, REALM = Remediation and Liability Management (a subsidiary of GM)

ATTACHMENT A
MONITORING WELL LOG
WELL INSTALLED BY FISHER BODY (RCRA PROGRAM)

Confidential under FOIA
Alex Rothchild
LFR
Sep 30, 2009 09:39

BORING B-9
 SURFACE ELEVATION 808.1
 ELEVATION
 IN FEET



BORING COMPLETED AT A DEPTH OF 33.0 FEET ON 11-23-80.
 NO CASING USED.
 WATER LEVEL RECORDED AT 19.0 FEET ON 11-23-80.
 2 1/2" PIEZOMETER INSTALLED WITH SCREEN 19.0 FEET TO 24.0 FEET ON 11-23-80.
 SONING LOGGED FROM 16.0 FEET TO SURFACE ON 11-23-80.

FIGURE A-3F
 LOG OF BORING B-9
 FISHER BODY
 COLDWATER PLANT
 WASTE MANAGEMENT AREA
 DAMES & MOORE

PRELIMINARY

00299-052-07

ATTACHMENT B
MONITORING WELL ABANDONMENT RECORDS
WELLS INSTALLED BY PEREGRINE (STUDY 2)

Confidential under FOIA
Alex Rothchild
LFR
Sep 30, 2009 09:39

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



MONITOR WELL ABANDONMENT RECORD

Site Name: Cordune Rd Landfill County: GENESEE

Section: 18 Town: SN Range: 7E MW#: 11

Date Installed: ? Date Abandoned: 11/23/98 Drilling Firm: Chero Environmental
~~FE HAZARD 115~~

Well Depth: ~25 ft. Screen Depth from TOC: ~15 ft. Water Table Depth from TOC: ~11 ft.

Casing Type: Galvanized PVC Stainless Steel ID: 2" 4"

Screen Type: PVC Stainless Steel Length: 10 ft.

2" Annulus Grouted: Yes No from ft. to ft. Groat Type:

~~Screen~~ Annulus Grouted: Yes No from ~25 ft. to ~1 ft. Groat Type: Cement

Casing: Pulled Cut Depth BGL: Well Grouted? Yes No

Grout Type: Bentonite Cement Grouting Method: Through Casing Tremie Other (explain)

Well overpulled + well materials removed.

Cement Groat pressure stemmed through HSA.

Crew: Don Bano (driller)

Comments:

Alex Rothchild 11/24/98
Signature of Consultant/Engineer Date

PAERD:Forms/Wells/abn.fm

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



MONITOR WELL ABANDONMENT RECORD

Site Name: CARDWELL Rd Landfill County: GENESEE
Section: 1B Town: SN Range: 7E MW#: 12

Date Installed: ? Date Abandoned: 11/23/98 Drilling Firm: CARLO ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGIES

Well Depth: ~25 ft. Screen Depth from TOC: ~15 ft. Water Table Depth from TOC: ~11 ft.

Casing Type: Galvanized PVC Stainless Steel ID: 2" 4"

Screen Type: PVC Stainless Steel Length: 10 ft.

2" Annulus Grouted: Yes No from ft. to ft. Grout Type:

~~BOULEVA~~
Annulus Grouted: Yes No from ~25 ft. to ~1 ft. Grout Type: Cement

Casing: Pulled Cut Depth BGL: Well Grouted? Yes No

Grout Type: Bentonite Cement Grouting Method: Through Casing Tremie Other (explain)

Well overdrilled + well materials removed.
Cement grout pressure tremied

Crew: Don Bond (Driller)

Comments:

Alex Rothchild 11/24/98
Signature of Consultant/Engineer Date

P:ERD:Forms/Wellst an 6.m

ATTACHMENT C
MONITORING WELL LOGS
WELLS INSTALLED BY PEREGRINE (STUDY 4)

Confidential under FOIA
Alex Rothchild
LFR
Sep 30, 2009 09:39

 LOG OF TEST BORING F-203 (R 01-87)	BORING NO. <u>PFW-1</u>
	SHEET NO. <u>1</u> OF <u>7</u>
	PROJECT NO. <u>4036.05</u>
	INSTALLATION <u>3-13-97</u>
	SURFACE ELEV. <u>99.6</u>
	BOREHOLE DIA. <u>8 IN.</u>
PROJECT NAME <u>PEREGRINE FLINT</u>	
LOCATION <u>FLINT, MICHIGAN</u>	
CONTRACTOR <u>STEARNS DRILLING CO</u>	
DRILLING METHOD <u>4.25" HSA</u>	

SAMPLING NOTES					VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL NO.	RECOVERY TYPE	PID		DEPTH		
		N	IN			
1	SS	17	16	0.6	Asphalt, broken. Fill: well-graded sand with gravel, little silt, little clay, brown, wet. SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), little fine to coarse sand, few fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, brown 10YR 4/3 with some mottling to grayish brown and yellowish brown, moist, (hard Pp > 4.5) (Glacial Fill). As above (CL), very stiff from 2 to 2.5 ft. As above (CL), fractured, very stiff (Pp = 3.4) below 5.7 feet. As above (CL), becoming mottled brown, dark grayish brown and dark gray 10YR 4/1 - 4/2. As above (CL), wet at sand partings @ 8.5' and 9.1', brown, trace fractures.	
2	SS	15	18	0		
3	SS	16	24	0		
4	SS	15	24	0		
5	SS	22	24	0		
6	SS	16	24	0		

GENERAL NOTES DATE STARTED <u>12 MAR 97</u> DATE COMPLETED <u>13 MAR 97</u> RIG <u>CME 750 ATV</u> CREW CHIEF <u>R. BENNETT</u> LOGGED <u>DPR</u> CHECKED <u>LPL</u>	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS WHILE DRILLING ∇ <u>78.0 ft. bgl</u> AT COMPLETION ∇ _____ AFTER DRILLING _____ CAVE-IN: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____ WATER: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____
--	--



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

BORING NO. PFW-1
 SHEET NO. 2 OF 7
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-13-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 99.6
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

SAMPLING NOTES					DEPTH	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID			
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
7	SS	15	24		15	As above (CL), stiff to very stiff, (Pp = 1.6 to 2.7). As above (CL). WELL-GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SW), fine to medium, trace gravel, few clay, brown 10YR 4/3, moist, pieces of clay till. SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some fine to coarse sand, few fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, brown 10YR 4/3, moist, stiff to very stiff (Glacial Till).	
8	SS	15	24	0	20	As above (CL), hard (Pp > 4). As above (CL).	
9	SS	19	24	0		LEAN CLAY (CL), gradational areas of	



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

BORING NO. PFW-1
 SHEET NO. 3 OF 7
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-13-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 99.6
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

SAMPLING NOTES						VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH		
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
10	SS	40	24	0	25	clayey silt and sandy silt, slightly plastic, mottled brown, dark yellowish brown, and brownish gray, hard, fractured, friable, (Glacial Till). SILTY SAND (SM), fine, brown, wet.	
					30	LEAN CLAY (CL), slightly plastic, brown with dark yellowish brown and black precipitate along fractures, mostly dark grayish brown below 29.6', moist to wet along silt partings, very hard, faint lamination (Glaciolacustrine).	
					35		



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

BORING NO. PFW-1
 SHEET NO. 4 OF 7
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-13-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 99.6
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

SAMPLING NOTES						VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH		
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
11	SS	20	0			As above (CL), gray 10YR 5/1 (based on cuttings).	
12	SS	17	24	0.6		As above (CL), wet along silt partings, very stiff (Pp = 3.2 to 3.7).	
						SILT (ML), grading from above clay, nonplastic, gray 10YR 5/1, moist, very stiff.	

Confidential under FOIA
 Alex Rothchild
 LFR
 Sep 30, 2009 09:39



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

BORING NO. PFW-1
 SHEET NO. 5 OF 7
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-13-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 99.6
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

SAMPLING NOTES						VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH		
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
13	SS	14	24	0.3	50	LEAN CLAY (CL), trace fine gravel, trace fine to coarse sand, medium plastic, gray 10YR 5/1, moist, very stiff (Pp = 2.3 to 2.7), faint lamination (Glaciolacustrine).	
					55		
					60		



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

BORING NO. PFW-1
 SHEET NO. 6 OF 7
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-13-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 99.6
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

SAMPLING NOTES						VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH		
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
14	SS	16	24	0.2	65	As above (CL), abundant silt partings.	
					70		
						<--- Drillers note change in resistance @ 71 feet.	
15	SS	88	18		75	POORLY-GRADED SAND (SP), fine, trace silt, light gray 10YR 7/1, moist to dry, faint stratification.	

Confidential under FOIA
 Alex Rothchild
 LFR
 Sep 30, 2009 09:39



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

BORING NO. PFW-1
 SHEET NO. 7 OF 7
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-13-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 99.6
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.


PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

SAMPLING NOTES					VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL NO.	TYPE	RECOVERY N	PID IN	DEPTH		
16	SS	23	18	78	As above (SP), some medium sand, gray 10YR 6/1, wet.	
17	SS	40	24	80	As above (SP), mostly medium grained.	
				85	LEAN CLAY (CL). End of boring at 85 feet.	



WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

PROJECT: Peregrine - Flint	WELL NO.: PFW-1
PROJ. NO: 4036.05	DATE INSTALLED: 3-13-97
OBSV. BY: DPR	CHECKED BY: <u>DPR</u>



1. CASING AND SCREEN DETAILS:

- A) Type Of Pipe: 2" PVC Pipe Schedule: 40
- B) Pipe Joints: Flush with O-ring
- C) Solvent Used? No
- D) Screen Type: 2' with machined slots, flush joint Screen Slot Size: 0.01"
- E) Borehole Diameter: 8 In. From 0 To 86.3 Ft.
 In. From To Ft.
- F) Surf. Casing Diameter: In. From To Ft.
 2nd Surface Casing: In. From To Ft.
- G) Installed Protective Cover W/ Lock? Yes

2. WELL DEVELOPMENT:

- A) Method: Surge/pump with Bremer check valve
- B) Time Spent Developing: 2.4 Hours
- C) Water Removed: 300 Gallons
 Added: 10 Gallons
- D) Water Clarity Before/After Development:
 Before: Opaque, gray
 After: Slightly turbid (approximately 50 NTU)
- F) Odor (Descr. if present) None

3. WATER LEVEL SUMMARY:

- A) After Developing: Ft. Below Top Of Casing
- B) Other Date/Time: 3-31-97/1358 80.58 Ft.
 Other Date/Time: Ft.

Notes: Approximately 10 gallons of clean water were added to eliminate a temporary bridge during filter packing.



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

BORING NO. PFW-2
 SHEET NO. 1 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-14-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 98.5
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

SAMPLING NOTES

INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
1	SS	26	18	0		Topsoil, wet.	
2	SS	15	16	0		SANDY LEAN CLAY WITH GRAVEL (CL), some fine to coarse sand, little fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, brown 10YR 4/3 with some dark yellowish brown mottling, moist, hard (Pp > 4.5). As above (CL), increasing moisture below 3 feet, stiff (Pp = 1.2 to 1.5).	
3	SS	12	16	0		As above (CL), some brownish gray mottling, some very stiff areas (Pp = 2.5).	
4	SS	5	18	0		As above (CL), with gray areas.	
5	SS	1	18			WELL-GRADED SAND WITH CLAY (SW-SC), fine to medium sand, little coarse sand, few clay, trace gravel, brown 10YR 4/3, wet. As above (SW-SC), 2" to 4" zone stiff sandy clay.	
6	SS	2	6		10		

GENERAL NOTES

DATE STARTED 14 MAR 97
 DATE COMPLETED 14 MAR 97
 RIG CME 750 ATV
 CREW CHIEF R. BENNETT
 LOGGED DPR CHECKED JPC

WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS

WHILE DRILLING ∇ 7.3 ft. bgl
 AT COMPLETION ∇ _____
 AFTER DRILLING _____
 CAVE-IN: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____
 WATER: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____

Sep 30, 2009 09:39



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

BORING NO. PFW-2
 SHEET NO. 2 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-14-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 98.5
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

SAMPLING NOTES

INTERVAL NO.	TYPE	RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH
		N	IN		

VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.


INTERVAL NO.	TYPE	RECOVERY N	RECOVERY IN	PID	DEPTH	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
7	SS	4	20			<p>As above (SP-SC), trace clay, dark yellowish brown 10YR 4/4.</p> <p>WELL-GRADED SAND (SW), fine to coarse, trace silt, trace clay, dark yellowish brown becoming dark grayish brown @ 13.4' with black steaks, diesel hydrocarbon odor.</p> <p>SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some sand, trace fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, dark grayish brown, moist, hard (Pp > 4).</p> <p>End of boring at 14 feet.</p>	

Confidential under FOIA
 Alex Rothchild
 LFR
 Sep 30, 2009 09:39



WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

PROJECT:	Peregrine - Flint			WELL NO.:	PFW-2
PROJ. NO.:	4036.05	DATE INSTALLED:	3-14-97	OBSV. BY:	DPR
				CHECKED BY:	<i>DRR</i>



1. CASING AND SCREEN DETAILS:

- A) Type Of Pipe: 2" PVC Pipe Schedule: 40
- B) Pipe Joints: Flush with O-ring
- C) Solvent Used? No
- D) Screen Type: 2" with machined slots, flush joint Screen Slot Size: 0.01"
- E) Borehole Diameter: 8 In. From 0 To 14 Ft.
 In. From To Ft.
- F) Surf. Casing Diameter: In. From To Ft.
 2nd Surface Casing: In. From To Ft.
- G) Installed Protective Cover W/ Lock? Yes

2. WELL DEVELOPMENT:

- A) Method: Surge/pump with Bremer check valve
- B) Time Spent Developing: 1 Hours
- C) Water Removed: 27 Gallons
 Added: 0 Gallons
- D) Water Clarity Before/After Development:
 Before: Opaque, brown
 After: Slightly turbid (approximately 100 NTU)
- F) Odor (Descr. if present) diesel range hydrocarbons

3. WATER LEVEL SUMMARY:

- A) After Developing: Ft. Below Top Of Casing
- B) Other Date/Time: 3-31-97/0946 6.74 Ft.
 Other Date/Time: Ft.

Notes:



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

BORING NO. PFW-3
 SHEET NO. 1 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-17-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 100.3
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

SAMPLING NOTES					VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL NO.	TYPE	RECOVERY N	IN	PID DEPTH		
1	SS	49	18	0.6	Fill: sand, gravel crushed limestone, upper few inches frozen.	
2	SS	17	16	0.2	SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some fine to coarse sand, trace fine gravel, slightly plastic, brown 10YR 4/3 with some gray and dark yellowish brown mottling, moist, hard.	
3	SS	6	18	0.2	WELL-GRADED SAND WITH CLAY (SW-SC), fine to coarse, dark brown 10YR 3/3, moist. SANDY LEAN CLAY WITH GRAVEL (CL), little coarse gravel, dark grayish brown 10YR 4/2, moist, hard.	
4	SS	12	20		SILTY SAND (SM), fine, brown 10YR 4/3, moist. SANDY LEAN CLAY WITH GRAVEL (CL), some fine to coarse sand, little fine to coarse gravel, moderately plastic, dark gray 10YR 4/1, moist, very stiff (Pp = 2.6).	
5	SS	14	24		As above (CL).	
6	SS	12	24	10	As above (CL), stiff (Pp = 1.3 to 2.0).	
					As above (CL).	

GENERAL NOTES
 DATE STARTED 17 MAR 97
 DATE COMPLETED 17 MAR 97
 RIG CME LC 60
 CREW CHIEF M. HEFFERAN
 LOGGED DPR CHECKED LPL

WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS
 WHILE DRILLING ∇ none observed
 AT COMPLETION ∇ _____
 AFTER DRILLING _____
 CAVE-IN: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____
 WATER: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

BORING NO. PFW-3
 SHEET NO. 2 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-17-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 100.3
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.


SAMPLING NOTES

INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
7	SS	14	24				
8	SS	15	24		15	POORLY-GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM), fine, gray, moist. SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some sand, little fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, dark gray 10YR 4/1, moist, stiff.	
9	SS	11	24			As above (CL), medium stiff (Pp = 1.0).	
10	SS	10	24			As above (CL), (Pp = 0.5).	
					20	As above (CL), (Pp = 1.0).	
						End of boring at 20 feet.	



WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

PROJECT:	Peregrine - Flint			WELL NO.:	PFW-3
PROJ. NO.:	4036.05	DATE INSTALLED:	3-17-97	OBSV. BY:	DPR
				CHECKED BY:	<i>DPR</i>



1. CASING AND SCREEN DETAILS:

- A) Type Of Pipe: 2" PVC Pipe Schedule: 40
- B) Pipe Joints: Flush with O-ring
- C) Solvent Used? No
- D) Screen Type: 2' with machined slots, flush joint Screen Slot Size: 0.01"
- E) Borehole Diameter: 8 In. From 0 To 18 Ft.
3 In. From To 20 Ft.
- F) Surf. Casing Diameter: In. From To Ft.
 2nd Surface Casing: In. From To Ft.
- G) Installed Protective Cover W/ Lock? Yes

2. WELL DEVELOPMENT:

- A) Method: None - dry well
- B) Time Spent Developing: Hours
- C) Water Removed: Gallons
 Added: Gallons
- D) Water Clarity Before/After Development:
 Before:
 After:
- F) Odor (Descr. if present) None

3. WATER LEVEL SUMMARY:

- A) After Developing: Ft. Below Top Of Casing
- B) Other Date/Time: Ft.
 Other Date/Time: Ft.

Notes:

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT REPORT
 PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

LOG OF TEST BORING
 F-203 (R 01-87)

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

BORING NO. PFW-4
SHEET NO. 1 OF 3
PROJECT NO. 4036.05
INSTALLATION 3-17-97
SURFACE ELEV. 99.8
BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

SAMPLING NOTES					DEPTH	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCTION
INTERVAL NO.	TYPE	RECOVERY N	IN	PID			
1	SS	23	18	0.2	Concrete.		
					Fill: sand and gravel, few fines, pale brown, moist.		
					SANDY LEAN CLAY WITH GRAVEL (CL), some fine to coarse sand, little fine gravel, slightly plastic, brown 10YR 4/3 with pale brown along occasional silt partings and fractures, some dark yellowish brown mottling, no odor, moist, hard (Pp > 4).		
2	SS	31	24	0			
					Silt and silty sand stringers at 4.4 feet.		
3	SS	29	24	5			
					SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL) as above to 4.4 feet, wet sand parting at 4.8 feet.		
					As above (CL), some dark yellowish brown along fractures, occasional wet		

GENERAL NOTES

DATE STARTED 17 MAR 97
 DATE COMPLETED 17 MAR 97
 RIG CME LC 60
 CREW CHIEF M. HEFFERAN
 LOGGED DPR CHECKED LPL

WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS

WHILE DRILLING none observed
 AT COMPLETION
 AFTER DRILLING _____

CAVE-IN: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____
 WATER: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT REPORT
 PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

LOG OF TEST BORING



F-203 (R 01-87)

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

BORING NO. PFW-4
 SHEET NO. 2 OF 3
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-17-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 99.8
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

SAMPLING NOTES

INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
4	SS	21	24			sand partings, very stiff.	
						As above (CL).	
						SILTY SAND (SM), brown, wet, laminated.	
						SANDY LEAN CLAY as above to 7.3 feet, very stiff to hard.	
5	SS	30	24			SILT (ML), little clay, few fine sand, brown with dark yellowish brown mottling, wet, nonlaminated.	
						SANDY LEAN CLAY WITH GRAVEL (CL), slightly plastic, dark grayish brown 10YR 4/2 with dark yellowish brown along fractures, moist, very stiff, sand partings.	
6	SS	28	24			SILT (ML), some clay, few sand, mottled dark grayish brown and dark yellowish brown, moist.	
						SANDY LEAN CLAY WITH GRAVEL (CL), slightly plastic, dark grayish brown 10YR 4/2 with dark yellowish brown along fractures, moist, very stiff, sand partings.	
						SILTY SAND (SM), fine to medium, trace clay, brown, wet.	
						SANDY LEAN CLAY WITH GRAVEL (CL), dark grayish brown, slightly plastic, 10YR 4/2, moist, very stiff.	
						SILT (ML), mottled grays and dark yellowish brown, moist to wet, nonlaminated, fractured.	

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT REPC
 PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

SAMPLING NOTES					VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT
INTERVAL NO.	TYPE	RECOVERY N	PID IN	DEPTH		
7	SS	44	24			
8	SS	16	24	15	<p>SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), brown to dark yellowish brown, hard.</p> <p>SILT (ML), little clay, few fine sand, brown, nonlaminated, moist to wet.</p> <p>SILTY SAND (SM), trace clay, brown to dark yellowish brown to dark grayish brown, stratified.</p> <p>SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown, moist.</p> <p>SILTY SAND (SM), trace clay, dark grayish brown, wet, stratified.</p> <p>SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some sand, few fine gravel, medium plastic, dark gray 10YR 4/1, moist, very stiff (Pp = 3.0).</p>	
					End of boring at 17 feet.	

LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

BORING NO. PFW-4
 SHEET NO. 3 OF 3
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-17-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 99.8
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA




ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT REPORT
 PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT



WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

PROJECT: Peregrine - Flint	WELL NO.: PFW-4
PROJ. NO: 4036.05	DATE INSTALLED: 3-17-07
OBSV. BY: DPR	CHECKED BY: DPR



1. CASING AND SCREEN DETAILS:

- A) Type Of Pipe: 2 PVC Pipe Schedule: 40
- B) Pipe Joints: Flush with O-ring
- C) Solvent Used? No
- D) Screen Type: 2 with machined slots, flush joint Screen Slot Size: 0.01"
- E) Borehole Diameter: 8 in. From 0 To 15 Ft.
3 in. From 15 To 17 Ft.
- F) Surf. Casing Diameter: in. From To Ft.
2nd Surface Casing: in. From To Ft.
- G) Installed Protective Cover W/ Lock? Yes

2. WELL DEVELOPMENT:

- A) Method: Gently bail
- B) Time Spent Developing: 1 Hours
- C) Water Removed: 7.1 Gallons
Added: 0 Gallons
- D) Water Clarity Before/After Development:
Before: Clear
After: Slightly turbid (approximately 50 NTU)
- F) Odor (Descr. if present) None

3. WATER LEVEL SUMMARY:

- A) After Developing: Ft. Below Top Of Casing
- B) Other Date/Time: 3-31-07/1240 5.52 Ft.
Other Date/Time: Ft.

Notes:

LOG OF TEST BORING
 F-203 (R 01-87)

RMT

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

BORING NO. PFW-5
 SHEET NO. 1 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-18-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 98.9
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

SAMPLING NOTES					DEPTH	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL NO.	TYPE	RECOVERY N	IN	PID			
1	SS	8	20	0.1		Fill: mostly medium sand, little fine to coarse rounded gravel, brown to 15 inches, then dark yellowish brown, no odor, moist.	
2	SS	9	22	0.1		As above.	
3	SS	2	12	0		Fill: fine to medium sand, dark yellowish brown, becoming brown and wet @ 3.7 ft. As above, dark grayish brown.	
4	SS	1	0			As above (based on blow counts and contents of 8 to 10 ft. sample).	
5	SS	2	20			As above.	
6	SS	10	16		10	SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), medium plastic, gray. SILT (ML), dark gray, laminated with clayey silt and sandy silt, wet.	

GENERAL NOTES

DATE STARTED 18 MAR 97
 DATE COMPLETED 18 MAR 97
 RIG CME LC 60
 CREW CHIEF M. HEFFERAN
 LOGGED DPR CHECKED LPL

WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS

WHILE DRILLING 3.7 ft. bgl
 AT COMPLETION _____
 AFTER DRILLING _____

CAVE-IN: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____
 WATER: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA


BORING NO. PFW-5
 SHEET NO. 2 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-18-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 98.9
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

SAMPLING NOTES						VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH		
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
7	SS	10	18			<p>SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some fine to coarse sand, few fine gravel, slightly plastic, dark gray 10YR 4/1, moist, very stiff (Pp = 3.0 to 3.7).</p> <p>As above (CL).</p>	
					15	End of boring at 14 feet.	
					20		



WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

PROJECT:	Peregrine - Flint			WELL NO.:	PFW-5
PROJ. NO:	4036.05	DATE INSTALLED:	3-18-97	OBSV. BY:	DPR
				CHECKED BY:	<i>DR</i>



1. CASING AND SCREEN DETAILS:

- A) Type Of Pipe: 2" PVC Pipe Schedule: 40
- B) Pipe Joints: Flush with O-ring
- C) Solvent Used? No
- D) Screen Type: 2' with machined slots, flush joint Screen Slot Size: 0.01"
- E) Borehole Diameter: 8 In. From 0 To 10 Ft.
3 In. From 10 To 14 Ft.
- F) Surf. Casing Diameter: In. From To Ft.
 2nd Surface Casing: In. From To Ft.
- G) Installed Protective Cover W/ Lock? Yes

2. WELL DEVELOPMENT:

- A) Method: Gently bail
- B) Time Spent Developing: 1.5 Hours
- C) Water Removed: 25 Gallons
 Added: 0 Gallons
- D) Water Clarity Before/After Development:
 Before: Opaque brown
 After: Slightly turbid (approximately 100 NTU)
- F) Odor (Descr. if present) None

3. WATER LEVEL SUMMARY:

- A) After Developing: Ft. Below Top Of Casing
- B) Other Date/Time: 3-31-97/1305 5.77 Ft.
 Other Date/Time: Ft.

Notes:

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT REPORT
 PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

BORING NO. PFW-6
 SHEET NO. 1 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-18-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 98.6
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

SAMPLING NOTES

INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH
NO.	TYPE	N	IN		
1	SS	16	18	9.4	
2	SS	25	20	10.2	
3	SS	22	24		5
4	SS	21	24		
5	SS	23	6		10

VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

Asphalt.
 Concrete.
 Fill: medium to coarse sand with coarse rounded gravel, few silt, few clay, dark grayish brown, wet.
 SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some fine to coarse sand, few fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, brown 10YR 4/3 with some gray mottling, no odor, moist, hard (Pp > 4.0), fractured.
 As above (CL).
 As above (CL).
 As above (CL), dark gray 10YR 4/1 below 7.3 feet, very stiff (Pp = 2.7 to 3.5).
 As above (CL).

GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT



GENERAL NOTES

DATE STARTED 18 MAR 97
 DATE COMPLETED 18 MAR 97
 RIG CME LC 60
 CREW CHIEF M. HEFFERAN
 LOGGED DPR CHECKED LPL

WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS

WHILE DRILLING ∇ none observed
 AT COMPLETION ∇
 AFTER DRILLING
 WAVE-IN: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____
 WATER: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____


Confidential under FOIA
 Alex Rothchild
 LFR
 Sep 30, 2009 09:39

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT REP
 PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT



WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

PROJECT:	Peregrine - Flint			WELL NO.:	PFW-8
PROJ. NO.:	4036.05	DATE INSTALLED:	3-18-97	OBSV. BY:	DPR
				CHECKED BY:	DPR



1. CASING AND SCREEN DETAILS:

- A) Type Of Pipe: 2" PVC Pipe Schedule: 40
- B) Pipe Joints: Flush with O-ring
- C) Solvent Used? No
- D) Screen Type: 2" with machined slots, flush joint Screen Slot Size: 0.01"
- E) Borehole Diameter: 8 In. From 0 To 13 Ft.
3 In. From 13 To 15 Ft.
- F) Surf. Casing Diameter: In. From To Ft.
 2nd Surface Casing: In. From To Ft.
- G) Installed Protective Cover W/ Lock? Yes

2. WELL DEVELOPMENT:

- A) Method: Gently bail
- B) Time Spent Developing: 0.2 Hours
- C) Water Removed: 3.7 Gallons
 Added: 0 Gallons
- D) Water Clarity Before/After Development:
 Before: Slightly turbid, light brown
 After: Slightly turbid, light gray
- F) Odor (Descr. if present) None

3. WATER LEVEL SUMMARY:

- A) After Developing: (dry) Ft. Below Top Of Casing
- B) Other Date/Time: 3-18-97/1500 dry Ft.
 Other Date/Time: 3-31-97/1320 1.05 Ft.

Notes:

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT REPORT
 PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

BORING NO. PFW-8
 SHEET NO. 1 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-19-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 101.1
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

SAMPLING NOTES

INTERVAL NO.	TYPE	RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH
		N	IN		
1	SS	18	24	0	
2	SS	19	18	0	
3	SS	17	18	0	
4	SS	18	21	0.4	
5	SS	17	24	0	
6	SS	21	24	0	10

VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some sand, few fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, dark grayish brown 10YR 4/2 with some dark yellowish brown and dark gray mottling, black precipitate along fractures, moist, hard (Pp > 4.5) (Glacial Till).

As above (CL), dark gray below 2.5 feet, (Pp = 4.2 to 4.5).


As above (CL).

As above (CL), very stiff (Pp = 3.2 to 3.5).

As above (CL), stiff (Pp = 1.4 to 1.7).

As above (CL).

GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.



GENERAL NOTES

DATE STARTED 19 MAR 97
 DATE COMPLETED 19 MAR 97
 RIG CME LC 60
 CREW CHIEF M. HEFFERAN
 LOGGED DPR CHECKED LPL

WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS

WHILE DRILLING ∇ none observed
 AT COMPLETION ∇ _____
 AFTER DRILLING _____
 CAVE-IN: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____
 WATER: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT REPORT
 PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

RMT

LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)


PROJECT NAME <u>PEREGRINE FLINT</u>	BORING NO. <u>PFW-8</u>
LOCATION <u>FLINT, MICHIGAN</u>	SHEET NO. <u>2</u> OF <u>2</u>
CONTRACTOR <u>STEARNS DRILLING CO</u>	PROJECT NO. <u>4036.05</u>
DRILLING METHOD <u>4.25" HSA</u>	INSTALLATION <u>3-19-97</u>
	SURFACE ELEV. <u>101.1</u>
	BOREHOLE DIA. <u>8 IN.</u>

SAMPLING NOTES						VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH		
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
7	SS	14	24	0	—	As above (CL).	[REDACTED]
8	SS	15	24	0	—	As above (CL), medium stiff (Pp = 0.5 to 1.0).	
9	SS	12	24	0	—	As above (CL).	
					15		
					20		
						End of boring at 18 feet.	



**ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT RE
 PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT**
WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

PROJECT: <u>Peregrine - Flirt</u>	WELL NO.: <u>PFW-8</u>		
PROJ. NO: <u>4036.05</u>	DATE INSTALLED:	OBSV. BY: <u>DPR</u>	CHECKED BY: <u>DPR</u>



1. CASING AND SCREEN DETAILS:

- A) Type Of Pipe: 2 PVC Pipe Schedule: 40
- B) Pipe Joints: Flush with O-ring
- C) Solvent Used? No
- D) Screen Type: 2 with machined slots, flush joint Screen Slot Size: 0.01"
- E) Borehole Diameter: 8 In. From 0 To 16 Ft.
3 In. From 16 To 18 Ft.
- F) Surf. Casing Diameter: In. From To Ft.
 2nd Surface Casing: In. From To Ft.
- G) Installed Protective Cover W/ Lock? Yes

2. WELL DEVELOPMENT:

- A) Method: None - dry well
- B) Time Spent Developing: Hours
- C) Water Removed: Gallons
 Added: Gallons
- D) Water Clarity Before/After Development:
 Before:
 After:
- F) Odor (Descr. if present) None

3. WATER LEVEL SUMMARY:

- A) After Developing: Ft. Below Top Of Casing
- B) Other Date/Time: Ft.
 Other Date/Time: Ft.

Notes:



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

BORING NO. PFW-9
 SHEET NO. 1 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-19-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 98.8
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

SAMPLING NOTES						VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH		
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
1	SS	7	20	0		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some fine to coarse sand, few fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, mottled brown, dark yellowish brown, and gray, moist, very stiff to hard, fractured (Glacial Till).	
2	SS	13	24	0		As above (CL).	
3	SS	6	20	0	5	WELL-GRADED SAND (SW), fine to coarse, trace silt, trace clay, dark yellowish brown 10YR 3/6, moist.	
						SILT (ML), dark yellowish brown, moist, laminated.	
4	SS	5	20	0	5	WELL-GRADED SAND (SW), fine to coarse, trace silt, trace clay, dark yellowish brown 10Y R3/6, moist.	
						SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), few fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, brown, moist, stiff (Pp = 1.5), abundant moist to wet sand partings below 5 feet (Glacial Till).	
5	SS	8	19	0		As above (CL), very stiff (Pp = 2.2).	
6	SS	16	24	0	10	PEAT, black humic material, some plant fragments, no odor.	
						SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), brown 10YR 4/3 with yellowish brown and gray mottling and fractures, moist, hard.	

GENERAL NOTES
 DATE STARTED 19 MAR 97
 DATE COMPLETED 19 MAR 97
 RIG CME LC 60
 CREW CHIEF M. HEFFERAN
 LOGGED DPR CHECKED LPC

WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS
 WHILE DRILLING 5.0 ft. bgl
 AT COMPLETION _____
 AFTER DRILLING _____
 CAVE-IN: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____
 WATER: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

BORING NO. PFW-9
 SHEET NO. 2 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-19-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 98.8
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.


PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

SAMPLING NOTES						VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH		
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
7	SS	17	24	0		As above (CL), very stiff (Pp = 3.5 to 4.0), olive brown, continued mottling.	
8	SS	37	24	0		As above (CL).	
9	SS	39	24	0	15	As above (CL), hard (Pp > 4.5), mostly brown 10YR 4/3, gray along fractures.	
10	SS	46	24	0		As above (CL), fewer fractures.	
					20	As above (CL).	
						End of boring at 20 feet. Original boring backfilled with bentonite slurry. Moved 5 feet northwest to install well.	



WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

PROJECT:	Peregrine - Flint			WELL NO.:	PFW-9
PROJ. NO.:	4036.05	DATE INSTALLED:	3-19-97	OBSV. BY:	DPR
				CHECKED BY:	DPR



1. CASING AND SCREEN DETAILS:

- A) Type Of Pipe: Z PVC Pipe Schedule: 40
- B) Pipe Joints: Flush with O-ring
- C) Solvent Used? No
- D) Screen Type: 2' with machined slots, flush joint Screen Slot Size: 0.01"
- E) Borehole Diameter: 8 In. From 0 To 8.5 Ft.
 In. From To Ft.
- F) Surf. Casing Diameter: In. From To Ft.
 2nd Surface Casing: In. From To Ft.
- G) Installed Protective Cover W/ Lock? Yes

2. WELL DEVELOPMENT:

- A) Method: Gently bail
- B) Time Spent Developing: 0.2 Hours
- C) Water Removed: 1.5 Gallons
 Added: 0 Gallons
- D) Water Clarity Before/After Development:
 Before: Clear
 After: Slightly turbid, light brown
- F) Odor (Descr. if present) None

3. WATER LEVEL SUMMARY:

- A) After Developing: Ft. Below Top Of Casing
- B) Other Date/Time: 3-21-97/1515 dry Ft.
 Other Date/Time: 3-31-97/0938 8.53 Ft.

Notes:



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

BORING NO. PFW-10
 SHEET NO. 1 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-20-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 100.5
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

SAMPLING NOTES						VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH		
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
1	SS	11	15	0.1		Asphalt. Fill: fine to medium sand, little coarse gravel, dark yellowish brown, moist to wet.	
2	SS	12	20	0.2		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some fine to coarse sand, few fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, brown 10YR 4/3 with some gray mottling along fractures, moist, hard (Pp > 4.5) (Glacial Till).	
3	SS	18	24	0		As above (CL), very dark gray 10YR 3/1 to olive brown 2.5Y 3/6 to grayish brown 10YR 4/2, stiff to very stiff (Pp = 1.5 to 2.5).	
4	SS	29	24	0		As above (CL), brown 10YR 4/3, hard (Pp = 4.4) below 5 feet.	
5	SS	29	24	0		As above (CL), less gray mottling below 7 feet.	
6	SS	24	24	0	10	As above (CL), (Pp = 4.0 to 4.5), fracture from 9.2 to 9.8 ft.	
						As above (CL), fracture 11.5 to 11.8'.	

GENERAL NOTES
 DATE STARTED 20 MAR 97
 DATE COMPLETED 20 MAR 97
 RIG CME LC 60
 CREW CHIEF M. HEFFERAN
 LOGGED DPR CHECKED LPL

WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS
 WHILE DRILLING 13.8 ft. bgl
 AT COMPLETION _____
 AFTER DRILLING _____
 CAVE-IN: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____
 WATER: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA


BORING NO. PFW-10
 SHEET NO. 2 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-20-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 100.5
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

SAMPLING NOTES						VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH		
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
7	SS	24	22	0		As above (CL), areas of dark grayish brown below 12.2 ft, very stiff (Pp = 2.5 to 3.5).	
8	SS	19	24	0	15	<--- Wet sand parting at 13.8 feet. As above (CL), dark gray 10YR 4/1, stiff (Pp = 1.7).	
9	SS	14	24	0		As above (CL).	
10	SS	13	24	0		As above (CL).	
					20	End of boring at 20 feet.	



WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

PROJECT:	Peregrine - Flint			WELL NO.:	PFW-10
PROJ. NO:	4036.05	DATE INSTALLED:	3-20-97	OBSV. BY:	DPR
				CHECKED BY:	



1. CASING AND SCREEN DETAILS:

- A) Type Of Pipe: 2" PVC Pipe Schedule: 40
- B) Pipe Joints: Flush with O-ring
- C) Solvent Used? No
- D) Screen Type: 2" with machined slots, flush joint Screen Slot Size: 0.01"
- E) Borehole Diameter: 8 In. From 0 To 18 Ft.
3 In. From 18 To 20 Ft.
- F) Surf. Casing Diameter: In. From To Ft.
2nd Surface Casing: In. From To Ft.
- G) Installed Protective Cover W/ Lock? Yes

2. WELL DEVELOPMENT:

- A) Method: Gently bail
- B) Time Spent Developing: 0.2 Hours
- C) Water Removed: 1.5 Gallons
Added: 0 Gallons
- D) Water Clarity Before/After Development:
Before: Slightly turbid, light brown
After: Clear
- F) Odor (Descr. if present) None

3. WATER LEVEL SUMMARY:

- A) After Developing: Ft. Below Top Of Casing
- B) Other Date/Time: 3-20-97/1145 dry Ft.
Other Date/Time: 3-31-97/1410 11.67 Ft.

Notes:



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

BORING NO. PFW-11
 SHEET NO. 1 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-20-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 101.3
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

SAMPLING NOTES						VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH		
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
1	SS	19	18	0		Asphalt and concrete.	
2	SS	23	24	0		Fill: medium sand, dark yellowish brown, moist. SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some fine to coarse sand, few fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, brown 10YR 4/3 with some yellowish brown mottling and gray along fractures, moist, hard (Pp > 4.5) (Glacial Till).	
3	SS	24	24	0		As above (CL).	
					5	SILT (ML), dark yellowish brown, moist, stratified.	
						LEAN CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown, hard, moist, laminated. SILT (ML), brown, moist, laminated.	

GENERAL NOTES
 DATE STARTED 20 MAR 97
 DATE COMPLETED 20 MAR 97
 RIG CME LC 60
 CREW CHIEF M. HEFFERAN
 LOGGED DPR CHECKED LPL

WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS
 WHILE DRILLING 6.9 ft. bgl
 AT COMPLETION _____
 AFTER DRILLING _____
 CAVE-IN: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____
 WATER: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

BORING NO. PFW-11
 SHEET NO. 2 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-20-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 101.3
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.


SAMPLING NOTES						VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.
INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH		
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
4	SS	19	20	0		SILTY SAND (SM), fine, very pale brown 10YR 7/3, moist.	
						SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), gray 10YR 5/1, moist, very stiff.	
						SANDY SILT (ML), little fine sand, gray 10YR 5/1, moist to wet, stratified and laminated.	
5	SS	21	24			As above (ML).	
						POORLY-GRADED SAND (SP), wet.	
						SANDY SILT (ML), wet.	
						POORLY-GRADED SAND (SP), wet.	
						SILT (ML), wet.	
6	SS	14	20		10	SANDY SILT (ML), wet.	
						POORLY-GRADED SAND (SP), wet.	
						SANDY SILT (ML), wet.	
						SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some fine to coarse sand, few fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, dark gray 10YR 4/1, moist, very stiff (Pp = 3.3).	
						End of boring at 12 feet.	

Confidential under FOIA
 Alex Rothchild
 LFR
 Sep 30, 2009 09:39



WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

PROJECT: Peregrine - Flint	WELL NO.: PFW-11
PROJ. NO: 4036.05	CHECKED BY: DPR
DATE INSTALLED: 3-20-97	OBSV. BY: DPR



1. CASING AND SCREEN DETAILS:

- A) Type Of Pipe: 2" PVC Pipe Schedule: 40
- B) Pipe Joints: Flush with O-ring
- C) Solvent Used? No
- D) Screen Type: 2" with machined slots, flush joint Screen Slot Size: 0.01"
- E) Borehole Diameter: 8 In. From 0 To 11 Ft.
3 In. From 11 To 12 Ft.
- F) Surf. Casing Diameter: In. From To Ft.
2nd Surface Casing: In. From To Ft.
- G) Installed Protective Cover W/ Lock? Yes

2. WELL DEVELOPMENT:

- A) Method: Gently bail
- B) Time Spent Developing: 0.3 Hours
- C) Water Removed: 1.6 Gallons
Added: 0 Gallons
- D) Water Clarity Before/After Development:
Before: Slightly turbid, light brown
After: Moderately turbid, light brown
- F) Odor (Descr. if present) None

3. WATER LEVEL SUMMARY:

- A) After Developing: (dry) Ft. Below Top Of Casing
- B) Other Date/Time: 3-20-97/1400 7.2 Ft.
Other Date/Time: 3-31-97/1406 1.57 Ft.

Notes:

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT REPORT
 PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

BORING NO. PFW-12
 SHEET NO. 1 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-21-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 101.7
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

SAMPLING NOTES

INTERVAL NO.	TYPE	RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH
		N	IN		
1	SS	21	15	12	
2	SS	26	24	8.1	
3	SS	28	24	23.3	
4	SS	23	24	28.3	
5	SS	23	24	5.6	
6	SS	20	24	0	10

VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

Concrete.
 Fill: wood blocks.
 SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some fine to coarse sand, few fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, dark grayish brown to brown 10YR 4/3 with gray mottling, moist, very stiff to hard, fractures, strong hydrocarbon odor.
 As above (CL), hard, less hydrocarbon odor.
 As above (CL), heavy fracturing with dark red precipitate along with gray fractures.
 As above (CL).
 As above (CL), fewer fractures, dark grayish brown.

GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT.



GENERAL NOTES

DATE STARTED 21 MAR 97
 DATE COMPLETED 21 MAR 97
 RIG CME LC 60
 CREW CHIEF M. HEFFERAN
 LOGGED DPR CHECKED LPL

WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS

WHILE DRILLING ▽
 AT COMPLETION ▽ 17.8 ft. bgl
 AFTER DRILLING ▽
 CAVE-IN: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____
 WATER: DATE/TIME _____ DEPTH _____

ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT REPORT
 PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT



LOG OF TEST BORING

F-203 (R 01-87)

PROJECT NAME PEREGRINE FLINT
 LOCATION FLINT, MICHIGAN
 CONTRACTOR STEARNS DRILLING CO
 DRILLING METHOD 4.25" HSA

BORING NO. PFW-12
 SHEET NO. 2 OF 2
 PROJECT NO. 4036.05
 INSTALLATION 3-21-97
 SURFACE ELEV. 101.7
 BOREHOLE DIA. 8 IN.

SAMPLING NOTES


INTERVAL		RECOVERY		PID	DEPTH	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION AND GENERAL OBSERVATIONS	GENERAL WELL CONSTRUCT
NO.	TYPE	N	IN				
7	SS	20	24	6		As above (CL), no odor.	
8	SS	24	24	3.1		As above (CL). WELL-GRADED SAND (SW), fine to coarse, trace silt, trace clay, yellow to brown to dark gray and black, hydrocarbon odor, moist.	
9	SS	16	24	0	15	SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), some fine to coarse sand, few fine to coarse gravel, slightly plastic, dark grayish brown, moist, stiff (Pp = 1.5 to 2.0), some sand partings.	
10	SS	8	20		20	SANDY SILT (ML), dark grayish brown, moist. SILTY SAND (SM), dark grayish brown, wet, some laminated with sandy silt and clayey silt. SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), slightly plastic, dark gray 10YR 4/1, medium stiff (Pp = 0.8), moist. End of boring at 20 feet.	



ENVIRONMENTAL AUDIT REPORT
 PRIVILEGED DOCUMENT

WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAM

PROJECT: Peregrine - Flint	WELL NO.: PFW-12		
PROJ. NO: 4038.05	DATE INSTALLED: 3-21-97	OBSV. BY: DPR	CHECKED BY: DPR



1. CASING AND SCREEN DETAILS:

- A) Type Of Pipe: 2 PVC Pipe Schedule: 40
- B) Pipe Joints: Flush with O-ring
- C) Solvent Used? No
- D) Screen Type: 2 with machined slots, flush joint Screen Slot Size: 0.01"
- E) Borehole Diameter: 8 In. From 0 To 19.5 Ft.
3 In. From 19.5 To 20 Ft.
- F) Surf. Casing Diameter: In. From To Ft.
 2nd Surface Casing: In. From To Ft.
- G) Installed Protective Cover W/ Lock? Yes

2. WELL DEVELOPMENT:

- A) Method: Gently bail
- B) Time Spent Developing: 1 Hours
- C) Water Removed: 5.9 Gallons
 Added: 0 Gallons
- D) Water Clarity Before/After Development:
 Before: Opaque dark grayish brown
 After: Slightly turbid (approximately 100 NTU)
- F) Odor (Descr. if present) None

3. WATER LEVEL SUMMARY:

- A) After Developing: Ft. Below Top Of Casing
- B) Other Date/Time: 3-24-97/1040 15.19 Ft.
 Other Date/Time: 3-31-97/1418 15.21 Ft.

Notes:

ATTACHMENT D
MONITORING WELL LOGS
WELLS INSTALLED BY REALM (GWNIAA)

Confidential under FOIA
Alex Rothchild
LFR
Sep 30, 2009 09:39



STRATIGRAPHIC AND INSTRUMENTATION LOG (OVERBURDEN)

PROJECT NAME: FORMER PEREGRINE FACILITY
 PROJECT NUMBER: 12636
 CLIENT: GM REALM
 LOCATION: GENESEE TOWNSHIP, MICHIGAN

HOLE DESIGNATION: MW-1-02
 DATE COMPLETED: June 27, 2002
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" HSA
 FIELD PERSONNEL: D. DEITNER

DEPTH ft BGS	STRATIGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION & REMARKS	ELEV. ft	MONITORING WELL	SAMPLE				
				NUMBER	INTERVAL	REC (%)	TN VALUE	PID (ppm)
	TOP OF RISER GROUND SURFACE	810.90 808.00						
0	SW-SANDS (FILL), with medium grained rounded gravels, trace silts, fine to medium grained, well graded, trace topsoil, dry - topsoil not present at 0.5ft BGS	807.00	<p style="font-size: small;">WELL DETAILS Screened interval: 798.00 to 793.00ft 10.00 to 15.00ft BGS Length: 5ft Diameter: 2in Slot Size: 0.010 Material: Schedule 40 PVC Sand Pack: 800.00 to 793.00ft 8.00 to 15.00ft BGS Material: Silica Sand</p>					
2	CL-SILTY CLAYS (FILL), trace fine grained sands, trace fine to medium grained gravel, stiff, low plasticity, brown, moist - 1/4" lens sandy silt at 2.5ft BGS	804.00		1P				0.0
4	CL-SILTY CLAYS (NATIVE), trace fine grained sands, trace fine grained subrounded gravel, firm, low plasticity, brown, moist - 1" vertical sand lens, 2mm thick at 4.8ft BGS			2P				0.0
6	- 1" sand/silt lens, slight increase in moisture at 8.1ft BGS			3P				0.0
8	- with sand at 11.5ft BGS - trace sand at 11.8ft BGS - trace gray mottling at 12.7ft BGS							
10								
12								
14								
16	END OF BOREHOLE @ 15.0ft BGS	793.00						
18								
20								
22								
24								
26								
28								
30								
32								
34								

NOTES MEASURING POINT ELEVATIONS MAY CHANGE; REFER TO CURRENT ELEVATION TABLE

OVERBURDEN LOG 12636.GPJ CRA_CORP.GDT 12/2/02

Sep 30, 2009 09:39



STRATIGRAPHIC AND INSTRUMENTATION LOG (OVERBURDEN)

PROJECT NAME: FORMER PEREGRINE FACILITY
 PROJECT NUMBER: 12636
 CLIENT: GM REALM
 LOCATION: GENESEE TOWNSHIP, MICHIGAN

HOLE DESIGNATION: MW-2-02
 DATE COMPLETED: June 27, 2002
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" HSA
 FIELD PERSONNEL: D. DEITNER

DEPTH #BGS	STRATIGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION & REMARKS	ELEV. ft	MONITORING WELL	SAMPLE					
				NUMBER	INTERVAL	REC (%)	'N' VALUE	PID (ppm)	
	TOP OF RISER GROUND SURFACE	810.99							
		807.94							
	TOPSOIL	807.61	<p>WELL DETAILS Screened interval: 797.94 to 792.94ft 10.00 to 15.00ft BGS Length: 5ft Diameter: 2in Slot Size: 0.010 Material: Schedule 40 PVC Sand Pack 799.94 to 792.94ft 8.00 to 15.00ft BGS Material: Silica Sand</p>						
	SW-SANDS (FILL), with medium grained rounded gravel, trace silt, compact, fine to medium grained, well graded, light brown, dry	807.03							
2	-2' cobbles at 1.1ft BGS	805.94							0.0
	MH-SILT WITH SANDS (FILL), loose, fine grained, poorly graded, light brown, dry								
4	CL-SILTY CLAYS (FILL), trace fine grained sand, trace fine grained rounded gravel, stiff, low plasticity, brown, moist								
6	-1' lens sandy clay at 3.5ft BGS								
8	CL-SILTY CLAYS (NATIVE), trace fine grained sand, trace fine grained rounded gravels, stiff, low plasticity, grayish brown, moist	799.84							0.0
10	-1.5' lens clayey silt, moist to very moist at 8.8ft BGS								
12	-3' lens silt with clay, trace sand, moist to very moist at 11.1ft BGS								
14	-gray, hard, competent at 13.1ft BGS								
	-1.5' lens clayey silt at 13.5ft BGS								
16	END OF BORE-HOLE @ 15.0ft BGS	792.94							0.0

NOTES: MEASURING POINT ELEVATIONS MAY CHANGE, REFER TO CURRENT ELEVATION TABLE

OVERBURDEN LOG 12636.GPJ CRA. CORP.GDT 12/2/02

Sep 30, 2009 09:39



STRATIGRAPHIC AND INSTRUMENTATION LOG (OVERBURDEN)

PROJECT NAME: FORMER PEREGRINE FACILITY
 PROJECT NUMBER: 12636
 CLIENT: GM REALM
 LOCATION: GENESEE TOWNSHIP, MICHIGAN

HOLE DESIGNATION: MW-3-02
 DATE COMPLETED: June 27, 2002
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" HSA
 FIELD PERSONNEL: D. DEITNER

DEPTH ft BGS	STRATIGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION & REMARKS	ELEV. ft	MONITORING WELL	SAMPLE				
				NUMBER	INTERVAL	REC (%)	'N' VALUE	PID (ppm)
	TOP OF RISER GROUND SURFACE	810.84 808.05						
0	TOPSOIL	807.72 807.39	<p>WELL DETAILS Screened interval: 798.05 to 793.05ft 10.00 to 15.00ft BGS Length: 5ft Diameter: 2in Slot Size: 0.010 Material: Schedule 40 PVC Sand Pack: 800.05 to 793.05ft 8.00 to 15.00ft BGS Material: Silica Sand</p>					
2	SW-SANDS (FILL), and medium grained rounded gravel, trace silts, loose, fine grained, poorly graded, light brown, dry			1P				0.0
4	CL-SILTY CLAYS (FILL), trace to with fine grained sands, trace medium grained rounded gravel, silt, low plasticity, brown, moist - trace rootlets at 4.8ft BGS							
8	CL-SILTY CLAYS (NATIVE), trace fine grained sand, trace medium grained rounded gravel, silt, low plasticity, brown, moist	800.55		2P				0.0
10	- 2.5" lens clayey silt, moist to very moist at 9.9ft BGS							
12	- 2" lens sandy clay, moist to very moist at 12.2ft BGS							
14	- brown, homogeneous at 13.0ft BGS - gray at 14.2ft BGS			3P				0.0
16	END OF BORE-HOLE @ 15.0ft BGS	793.05						
18								
20								
22								
24								
26								
28								
30								
32								
34								

OVERBURDEN LOG: 12636.GPJ_CRA_CORP.GDT 12/2/02

NOTES: MEASURING POINT ELEVATIONS MAY CHANGE; REFER TO CURRENT ELEVATION TABLE



STRATIGRAPHIC AND INSTRUMENTATION LOG (OVERBURDEN)

PROJECT NAME: FORMER PEREGRINE FACILITY
 PROJECT NUMBER: 12636
 CLIENT: GM REALM
 LOCATION: GENESEE TOWNSHIP, MICHIGAN

HOLE DESIGNATION: MW-4-02
 DATE COMPLETED: June 27, 2002
 DRILLING METHOD: 4-1/4" HSA
 FIELD PERSONNEL: D. DEITNER

DEPTH ft BGS	STRATIGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION & REMARKS	ELEV. ft	MONITORING WELL	SAMPLE				
				NUMBER	INTERVAL	REC (%)	'N' VALUE	PID (ppm)
	TOP OF RISER GROUND SURFACE	810.63 807.91						
	TOPSOIL	807.50						
2	MH-SANDY SILTS (FILL), loose, fine grained, poorly graded, brown, dry - 1" cobble at 2.2ft BGS	805.71	BENTONITE CHIPS	1P				0.0
4	CL-SILTY CLAY (FILL), trace fine grained sands, trace fine and coarse grained subrounded gravel, firm, low plasticity, brown, moist		8-1/2" BOREHOLE					
6	- brown and gray native, trace topsoil, rootlets, 1" cobbles at 3.8ft BGS	802.41	2" PVC WELL CASING	2P				0.0
8	CL-SILTY CLAYS (NATIVE), trace fine grained rounded gravels, trace fine grained sands, firm, low plasticity, brown with gray mottling, moist		SAND PACK					
10	- trace organic staining at 9.7ft BGS - brown at 10.0ft BGS		2" PVC WELL SCREEN	3P				0.0
12	- 3" lens sandy silt, trace clay, moist to very moist at 11.7ft BGS - stiff, brown, homogeneous at 12.1ft BGS							
14								
16	END OF BORE-HOLE @ 15.0ft BGS	792.91						
18								
20								
22								
24								
26								
28								
30								
32								
34								


WELL DETAILS
 Screened interval:
 797.91 to 792.91ft
 10.00 to 15.00ft BGS
 Length: 5ft
 Diameter: 2in
 Slot Size: 0.010
 Material: Schedule 40 PVC
 Sand Pack:
 799.91 to 792.91ft
 8.00 to 15.00ft BGS
 Material: Silica Sand

NOTES MEASURING POINT ELEVATIONS MAY CHANGE; REFER TO CURRENT ELEVATION TABLE

OVERBURDEN LOG 12636.GPJ CRA. CORP.GDT 12/2/02

ATTACHMENT E
MONITORING WELL LOG
WELL INSTALLED BY REALM (DSA)

Confidential under FOIA
Alex Rothchild
LFR
Sep 30, 2009 09:39



REALM-Coldwater Road
Flint, Michigan
MONITORING WELL DSA MW-1

MW-0BG

TEST BORING LOG

Sep 30, 2009 09:39

REPORT OF BORING

DSA MW-1

O'BRIEN & GERE ENGINEERS, INC.

Client: REALM
 Site: Coldwater Road Landfill
 Drum Storage Area
 Proj. Loc: Flint, MI
 File No.: 4144.007

Drill Method: hydraulic probe/HS auger
 Sampler: 5 ft acetate lined sampler
 Hammer: NA
 Fall: NA

Page 1 of 1
 Location: at former GB-1 location
 Start Date: 5/10/02
 End Date: 5/10/02

Boring Company: PROSONIC Corp.
 Foreman: Pete Goss
 Drill Rig: 66DT ATV track-mounted rig
 OBG Geologist: Mike Robison

Screen
 Riser
 Sand Pack
 Bentonite
 Bent/cuttings

Depth Below Grade	No.	Depth (feet)	Blows /6"	Penetr/ Recovery	"N" Value	Sample Description	Stratum Change General Descript	Equip. Installed	Field Testing PID (ppm)
0		0-2'	NA			Approx 4 ft of fill material (topsoil-grass surface) placed on top of former asphalt grade; began continuous sampling from 5 ft below grade.			
			NA						
			NA						
			NA						
2		2-4'	NA						
			NA						
			NA						
4		4-6'	NA			asphalt	4'		
			NA			gravel base	4.5'		
	1		NA	full	NA	Mod. yellowish brown (10 YR 5/4), damp, stiff silty CLAY, little gravel	5'		5.1
			NA						
6		6-8'	NA			saa, gray mottling, very stiff	7'		9.9
			NA						
			NA						
8		8-10'	NA						
			NA						
			NA						
10	2	10-12'	NA	full	NA	Dark yellowish brown (10 YR 4/2), moist, fine-medium SAND	10'		0.4
			NA			Mod. yellowish brown (10 YR 5/4), damp, stiff CLAY, little gravel	10'8"		1.1
			NA						
			NA						
12		12-14'	NA						
			NA						
			NA						
14		14-16'	NA						
			NA						
	3		NA	full	NA	Med. Dark gray (N 4), damp, stiff CLAY	15'		0.0
			NA						
16		16-18'	NA						
			NA						
			NA						
18		18-20'	NA						
			NA						
			NA						
20	4	20-22'	NA	full	NA	Med. Dark gray (N 4), damp, stiff CLAY			0.0
			NA						
			NA						
			NA						
22		22-24'	NA						
			NA						
			NA						
24		24-26'	NA						
			NA						
						EOB @ 25 fbg			
26									

Notes:

Subsequent to direct probe sampling, 4.25" ID hollow-stem augers were used to overdrill borehole to 25 fbg.
 "" denotes top of discrete soil sample interval collected for laboratory submittal.
 Subsequent to soil sampling activities a monitoring well was constructed of 2-inch Schedule 40 PVC, No. 10 slot screen 5 ft in length from 9.7-14.7 ft below grade.
 5 ft hydraulic probe macro core sampler used, therefore no blow counts.
 "saa" denotes "same as above"

ATTACHMENT F

**STANDARD GUIDE FOR DECOMMISSIONING OF GROUND WATER WELLS,
VADOSE ZONE MONITORING DEVICES, BOREHOLES, AND OTHER
DEVICES FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ACTIVITIES (ASTM D5299-92)**

Confidential under FOIA
Alex Rothchild
LFR
Sep 30, 2009 09:39



Standard Guide for Decommissioning of Ground Water Wells, Vadose Zone Monitoring Devices, Boreholes, and Other Devices for Environmental Activities¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 5299; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reappraisal. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reappraisal.

1. Scope

1.1 This guide covers procedures that are specifically related to permanent decommissioning (closure) of the following as applied to environmental activities. It is intended for use where solid or hazardous materials or wastes are found, or where conditions occur requiring the need for decommissioning. The following devices are considered in this guide:

- 1.1.1 A borehole used for geoenvironmental purposes (see Note 1),
 - 1.1.2 Monitoring wells,
 - 1.1.3 Observation wells,
 - 1.1.4 Injection wells (see Note 2),
 - 1.1.5 Piezometers,
 - 1.1.6 Wells used for the extraction of contaminated ground water, the removal of floating or submerged materials other than water such as gasoline or tetrachloroethylene, or other devices used for the extraction of soil gas,
 - 1.1.7 A borehole used to construct a monitoring well, and
 - 1.1.8 Any other vadose zone monitoring device.
- 1.2 Temporary decommissioning of the above is not covered in this guide.

NOTE 1—This guide may be used to decommission boreholes where no contamination is observed at a site (see Practice D 420 for details); however, the primary use of the guide is to decommission boreholes and wells where solid or hazardous waste have been identified. Methods identified in this guide can also be used in other situations such as the decommissioning of water supply wells and boreholes where water contaminated with nonhazardous pollutants (such as nitrates or sulfates) are present. This guide should be consulted in the event that a routine geotechnical investigation indicates the presence of contamination at a site.

NOTE 2—The term "well" is used in this guide to denote monitoring wells, piezometers, or other devices constructed in a manner similar to a well. Some of the devices listed such as injection and extraction wells can be decommissioned using this guide for information, but are not specifically covered in the text.

NOTE 3—Details on the decommissioning of multiple-screened wells are not provided in this guide due to the many methods used to construct these types of wells and the numerous types of commercially available multiple-screened well systems. However, in some instances, the methods presented in this guide may be used with few changes. An example of how this guide may be used is the complete removal of the multiple-screened wells by overdrilling.

1.3 Most monitoring wells and piezometers are intended

primarily for water quality sampling, water level observation, or soil gas sampling, or combination thereof, to determine quality. Many wells are relatively small in diameter (<4-in. (10.1 cm) inside diameter) and are used to monitor for hazardous chemicals in ground water. Decommissioning of monitoring wells is necessary to:

- 1.3.1 Eliminate the possibility that the well is used for purposes other than intended,
- 1.3.2 Prevent migration of contaminants into an aquifer or between aquifers,
- 1.3.3 Prevent migration of contaminants in the vadose zone,
- 1.3.4 Reduce the potential for vertical or horizontal migration of fluids in the well or adjacent to the well, and
- 1.3.5 Remove the well from active use when the well is no longer capable of rehabilitation, or has failed structurally; no longer required for monitoring; no longer capable of providing representative samples or is providing unreliable samples; or required to be decommissioned; or to meet regulatory requirements.

NOTE 4—The determination of whether a well is providing a representative water quality sample is not defined in this guide. Examples of when a representative water quality sample may not be collected include the biological or chemical clogging of well screens, a drop in water level to below the base of the well screen, or complete silting of a tail pipe. These conditions may indicate that a well is not functioning properly.

1.4 This guide is intended to provide information for effective permanent closure of wells so that the physical structure of the well does not provide a means of hydraulic communication between aquifers or react chemically in a detrimental way with the environment.

1.5 The intent of this guide is to provide procedures that when followed result in a reasonable level of confidence in the integrity of the decommissioning activity. However, it may not be possible to verify the integrity of the decommissioning procedure. At this time, methods are not available to substantially determine the integrity of the decommissioning activity.

1.6 The values stated in inch-pound units are to be regarded as the standard. The SI units given in parentheses are for information only.

1.7 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety problems, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D-18 on Soil and Rock and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D18.21 on Ground Water and Vadose Zone Investigations.

Current edition approved Sept. 15, 1992. Published January 1993.

dex
gui
2.
1
1
1
3.
tern
light
3
rec
bor
dov
scre
3
of f
3
to s
case
3
for
is si
3
for
con
cem
3.
use
con
to A
resis
3.
use
usec
well
calc
blen
Alsc
3.
use
used
well
calci
2 A
3 A

NOTE 5—If state and local regulations are in effect where the decommissioning is to occur, the regulations take precedence over this guide.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

- C 150 Specification for Portland Cement²
- C 618 Specification for Fly Ash and Raw or Calcined Pozzolan for Use as a Mineral Admixture in Portland Concrete Cement²
- D 420 Guide for Investigating and Sampling Soil and Rock³
- D 4380 Test Method for Density of Bentonitic Slurries³
- D 5088 Practice or the Decontamination of Field Equipment Used at Non-Radioactive Waste Sites³
- D 5092 Practice for Design and Installation of Ground Water Monitoring Wells in Aquifers³

3. Terminology

3.1 Descriptions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.1.1 **abandonment**—see *decommissioning*.

3.1.2 **attapulgite clay**—a chain-lattice clay mineral. The term also applies to a group of clay minerals that are lightweight, tough, matted, and fibrous.

3.1.3 **borehole television log**—a borehole or well video record produced by lowering a television camera into the borehole or well. This record is useful in visually observing downhole conditions such as collapsed casing or a blocked screen.

3.1.4 **blowout**—a sudden or violent uncontrolled escape of fluids or gas, or both, from a borehole.

3.1.5 **caliper log**—a geophysical borehole log that shows to scale the variations with depth in the mean diameter of a cased or uncased borehole.

3.1.6 **cement, API, Class A**—a cement intended for use from the surface to a depth of 6000 ft (1828 m). This cement is similar to ASTM Type I cement.

3.1.7 **cement, API, Class B**—a cement intended for use from the surface to a depth of 6000 ft (1828 m) when conditions require moderate- to high-sulfate resistance. This cement is similar to ASTM Type II cement.

3.1.8 **cement, API, Class C**—this cement is intended for use from the surface to a depth of 6000 ft (1828 m) when conditions require high early strength. This cement is similar to ASTM Type III cement. Also available as a high sulfate resistant type.

3.1.9 **cement, API, Class G**—this cement is intended for use from the surface to a depth of 8000 ft (2438 m). It can be used with accelerators or retarders to cover a wide range of well depths and temperatures. No additions other than calcium sulfate or water, or both, can be interground or blended with the clinker during manufacture of the cement. Also available as several sulfate-resistant types.

3.1.10 **cement, API, Class H**—this cement is intended for use from the surface to a depth of 8000 ft (2438 m). It can be used with accelerators or retarders to cover a wide range of well depths and temperatures. No additions other than calcium sulfate or water, or both, can be interground or

blended with the clinker during manufacture of the cement. Also available as a sulfate-resistant type.

3.1.11 **cement, API, Class J**—this cement is intended for use from depths of 12 000 to 16 000 ft (3658 to 4877 m) under conditions of extremely high temperatures and pressures. It can be used with accelerators and retarders to cover a range of well depths and temperatures. No additions of retarders other than calcium sulfate, or water, or both, can be interground or blended with the clinker during manufacture of the cement.

3.1.12 **cement bond (sonic) log**—a borehole geophysical log that can be used to determine the effectiveness of a cement seal of the annular space of a well.

3.1.13 **channeling**—the process of forming a vertical cavity resulting from a faulty cement job in the annular space.

3.1.14 **curing accelerator**—a material added to cement to decrease the time for curing. Examples are sodium chloride, calcium sulfate (gypsum), and aluminum powder.

3.1.15 **curing retarder**—a material added to cement to increase the time for curing. Sodium chloride in high concentrations is an example.

3.1.16 **decommissioning (closure)**—the engineered closure of a well, borehole, or other subsurface monitoring device sealed with plugging materials. Decommissioning also includes the planning and documenting of all associated activities. A synonym is abandonment.

3.1.17 **decontamination**—the process of removing undesirable physical or chemical constituents, or both, from equipment to reduce the potential for cross-contamination.

3.1.18 **fallback**—shrinkage, settlement, or loss of plugging material placed in a borehole or well.

3.1.19 **fire clay**—a silicious clay rich in hydrous aluminum silicates.

3.1.20 **flow log**—a borehole geophysical log used to record vertical movement of ground water and movement of water into or out of a well or borehole and between formations within a well.

3.1.21 **geophysical borehole log**—a log obtained by lowering an instrument into a borehole and continuously recording a physical property of native or backfill material and contained fluids. Examples include resistivity, induction, caliper, sonic, and natural gamma logs.

3.1.22 **grout**—material consisting of bentonite, cement, or a cement-bentonite mixture.

3.1.23 **grout pipe**—a pipe or tube that is used to transport cement, bentonite, or other plugging materials from the ground surface to a specified depth in a well or borehole. The material may be allowed to flow freely or it may be injected under pressure. The term tremie pipe is frequently used interchangeably.

3.1.24 **hydraulic communication**—the migration of fluids from one zone to another, with reference to this guide; especially along a casing, grout plug, or through backfill materials.

3.1.25 **multiple-screened wells**—two or more monitoring wells situated in the same borehole. These devices can be either individual casing strings and screen set at a specific depth, a well with screens in more than one zone, or can consist of devices with screens with tubing or other collecting devices attached that can collect a discrete sample.

² Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 04.02.

³ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 04.08.

3.1.26 *native material*—in place geologic (or soil) materials encountered at a site.

3.1.27 *overdrilling*—the process of drilling out a well casing and any material placed in the annular space.

3.1.28 *perforation*—a slot or hole made in well casing to allow for communication of fluids between the well and the annular space.

3.1.29 *permanent plugging*—a seal that has a hydraulic conductivity that is equivalent or less than the hydraulic conductivity of the geologic formation. This term is often used with uncased boreholes.

3.1.30 *plow layer*—the depth typically reached by a plow or other commonly used earth turning device used in agriculture. This depth is commonly one to two feet (.3 m to .61 m) below land surface.

3.1.31 *plugging material*—a material that has a hydraulic conductivity equal to or less than that of the geologic formation(s) to be sealed. Typical materials include portland cement and bentonite.

3.1.32 *pre-conditioning*—an activity conducted prior to placing plugging material into a borehole in order to stabilize the hole.

3.1.33 *temporary decommissioning*—the engineered closure of a well intended to be returned to service at some later date (generally no more than six months). Temporary plugging should not damage the structural integrity of the well. Plugging materials consist of sand, bentonite, or other easily removed materials.

4. Summary of Guide

4.1 Information is provided on the significance of properly decommissioning boreholes and wells at sites containing or formerly containing solid or hazardous waste or hazardous materials or their byproducts, or that may be affected by solid or hazardous waste materials or their byproducts in the future. This guide may be used in situations where water quality in one aquifer may be detrimental to another aquifer either above or below the aquifer. The primary purpose of decommissioning activities is to permanently decommission the borehole or monitoring device so that the natural migration of ground water or soil vapor is not significantly influenced. Decommissioned boreholes and wells should have no adverse influence on the local environment than the original geologic setting.

4.2 It is important to have a good understanding of the geology, hydrogeology, well construction, historic and future land use, chemicals encountered, and the regulatory environment for successful decommissioning to occur.

4.3 Various materials suitable for decommissioning boreholes and wells are discussed, including their positive and negative attributes for decommissioning. A generalized procedure is provided that discusses the process from planning through implementation and documentation. Examples of typical practices are provided in the appendix.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 Decommissioning of boreholes and monitoring wells, and other devices requires that the specific characteristics of each site be considered. The wide variety of geological, biological, and physical conditions, construction practices, and chemical composition of the surrounding soil, rock,

waste, and ground water precludes the use of a single decommissioning practice. The procedures discussed in this guide are intended to aid the geologist or engineer in selecting the tasks required to plan, choose materials for, and carry out an effective permanent decommissioning operation. Each individual situation should be evaluated separately and the appropriate technology applied to best meet site conditions. Considerations for selection of appropriate procedures are presented in this guide, but other considerations based on site specific conditions should also be taken into account.

NOTE 6—Ideally, decommissioning should be considered as an integral part of the design of the monitoring well. Planning at this early stage can make the decommissioning activity easier to accomplish. See Practice D 5092 for details on monitoring well construction.

5.2 This guide is intended to provide technical information and is not intended to supplant statutes or regulations. Approval of the appropriate regulatory authorities should be an important consideration during the decommissioning process.

6. Materials

6.1 The materials used for construction of a monitoring well or other monitoring device to be decommissioned in part determines how it is decommissioned. Various materials are available for use in plugging boreholes and monitoring wells. This section provides information on these materials.

6.2 Casing and Screen Materials:

6.2.1 Various materials are used for well casing and screen. The most common materials used are: PVC, PTFE, fiberglass, carbon steel, stainless steel, and aluminum. Typically, the same material is used for casing and screen in a well however, in some instances different materials may be used in a well to achieve a particular purpose such as corrosion protection, reduction of material costs, or improving the integrity of ground water or soil vapor samples. This guide does not specifically address the use of more than one type of casing or screen material used in a well, however, the same decommissioning methods can frequently be used when more than one material is used (for example, PVC and PTFE, or stainless steel and carbon steel) in a well.

6.2.2 In selecting a well decommissioning method, PVC, PTFE, and fiberglass wells can be decommissioned using similar methods as all three types of materials tend to be low in tensile strength and easy to drill out or perforate. Appendix X1 provides a discussion on various procedures that can be used for the decommissioning of PVC wells and by reference PTFE and fiberglass wells.

6.2.3 Wells constructed of carbon steel, stainless steel, and heavy walled aluminum can be decommissioned using similar methods as these materials tend to have a higher tensile strength that allows for the casing to be removed. Appendix X1 provides a discussion on various procedures that can be used for the decommissioning of steel wells and by reference stainless steel and aluminum wells.

6.3 Plugging Materials:

6.3.1 Plugging materials should be carefully chosen for well closure to be permanent. Basic material characteristics are listed as follows:

6.3.1.1 Plugging materials should not react with contami-

na
m
bc
cc
lo
se
st
tic
iti
de
ria
le
tit
pl
ar
ur
tig
in
tic
hc
or
sic
sh
or
tra
pre
col
ma
del
to
bo
ber
(3
ext
is
un
use
per
rec
int
the
m:
tic
va
th
ria
we
im
us
fol
an

nants or adversely react with ground water or geologic materials.

6.3.1.2 Plugging materials used in decommissioning wells, borings, etc. should have hydraulic conductivity (saturated condition) that is comparable to or lower than that of the lowest hydraulic conductivity of the geologic material being sealed.

6.3.1.3 Plugging materials must have sufficient structural strength to withstand pressures expected from native conditions.

6.3.1.4 Plugging materials must maintain sealing capabilities and not degrade due to chemical interaction, corrosion, dehydration, or other physical or chemical processes. Materials should maintain their design characteristics for the length of time contamination is present at the site.

6.3.1.5 Plugging materials should not be readily susceptible to cracking or shrinkage, or both.

6.3.1.6 Plugging materials must be capable of being placed at the position in the well or borehole in which they are needed and must have properties that reduce their unintended movement vertically and horizontally.

6.3.1.7 Plugging materials must be capable of forming a tight bond and seal with well casing and the formation.

6.3.1.8 Plugging materials must have properties that eliminate leaching or erosion of the material, under the conditions the material will be subjected. These include vertical or horizontal movement, or both, or contact with ground water or other existing conditions.

NOTE 7—The grain size of plugging material used in decommissioning operations conducted in areas where thick vadose zones occur should be coarser than materials used in areas where thin vadose zones or shallow saturated conditions occur. This is necessary as water is not transported effectively in coarse-grained materials under negative pore pressures. Coarse-grained materials should not be used where saturated conditions are likely to exist during the period of time that hazardous materials can be expected to occur at the site. It is important to determine the lithology and grain size distribution of materials adjacent to the borehole or well prior to selection of plugging materials.

NOTE 8—If coarse-grained materials are used to decommission the borehole or well, a layer of fine-grained material (such as cement or bentonite, or both) 1 or 2 ft (.3 or .61 m) thick should be placed at 10 ft (3 m) intervals in the borehole in the saturated zone. This layer should extend 2 to 3 ft (.61 to .91 m) above the highest expected level saturation is expected based on historical information on the water table for unconfined aquifers. A similar thickness of these materials should be used for confined aquifers. A similar 5-ft (1.5-m) seal of a low-permeability material should be placed near the ground surface to reduce the potential for entrance of fluids at the ground surface.

6.4 *Commonly Used Materials*—Subsections 6.2 and 6.3 introduced the general criteria that must be evaluated during the process of selecting the appropriate procedure and material for plugging a specific well. Because well construction and local geological conditions are site specific, a wide variety of materials and procedures may be used to complete the closure.

6.4.1 Section 6.4 presents a review of the plugging materials most commonly used to decommission monitoring wells. Table 1 summarizes these materials and lists the most important considerations (positive and negative) for their use. A detailed discussion of each material is presented in the following subsections.

6.4.2 *Portland Cement*—Portland cement may be used in any of its various forms to meet placement, strength, and

durability criteria listed in 6.1. The amount of shrinkage or settling of neat cement is dependent on the amount of water used. Higher water to cement ratios tend to increase shrinkage (1).⁴

6.5 *Specification C 150:*

6.5.1 *Type I*—Type I cement, a general-purpose material, is the most commonly used cement. This material has a tendency to develop a relatively high heat of hydration when used in confined situations and has relatively low-sulfate resistance.

6.5.2 *Type II*—Somewhat slower strength development than Type I; however, Type II cement has moderate heat of hydration and moderate sulfate resistance.

6.5.3 *Type III*—Type III cement is used when high early strength is desired. This material is not commonly used in decommissioning activities because of its ability to quickly set. Care must be used in working with this material.

6.5.4 *Type IV*—Type IV cement is used where a low heat of hydration is desired. It is not commonly used in decommissioning activities.

6.5.5 *Type V*—Type V cement has high resistance to sulfate, and brine solutions. This material has ultimate strength development somewhat less than either Types I or II.

6.5.6 *Type K* cement is expansive and can be used to compensate for shrinkage. This cement is essentially Type I or more commonly Type II Portland Cement with additives to produce expansion. It can be of use in plugging situations where water-tightness is important. Type K cement contains calcium sulfoaluminate. When mixed with water, the hydration causes an expansion ranging from approximately 0.05 to 0.20 % (2).

6.6 *API Cements (3):*

6.6.1 *Class A*—Class A cement corresponds closely to ASTM Type 1. This cement is intended to be used from the surface to a depth of 6000 ft (1828 m).

6.6.2 *Class B*—Class B cement corresponds closely to ASTM Type II. It is intended for use from the surface to a depth of 6000 ft (1828 m) and is also available as a high-sulfate resistant variety.

6.6.3 *Class C*—Class C cement corresponds closely to ASTM Type III. It is intended for use from the surface to a depth of 6000 ft (1828 m). It is also available as a high-sulfate resistant variety.

6.6.4 *Class G*—Class G cement is intended for use from the surface to a depth of 8000 ft (2438 m) and can be used with accelerators or retarders to cover a wide range of depths and temperatures. The cement is also available as a high-sulfate resistant variety.

6.6.5 *Class H*—Class H cement is intended for use from the surface to a depth of 8000 ft (2438 m). It can be used with a wide variety of accelerators and retarders to cover a wide range of depths and temperatures. It is available only as a moderate-sulfate resistant type.

6.6.6 *Class J*—This cement is intended for use from a depth of 12 000 to 16 000 ft (3658 to 4877 m) where

⁴ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of the text.



TABLE 1 Properties of Common Plugging Materials

Plugging Material	Description	Positive Attributes	Negative Attributes
ASTM C-50 Portland Cement			
Type I	Most commonly used type of cement for plugging	Forms a good seal when used with bentonite in 3 to 5 % concentration. Commonly available and can be purchased premixed on-site.	High heats of hydration may be a problem in PVC-cased wells. Can shrink and crack; low-sulfate resistance. Should not be used in the presence of strong acids or in low-pH environments.
Type II	Similar to Type I, but with a moderate heat of hydration.	Moderate heat of hydration. Moderate resistance to sulfate.	Somewhat slower strength development than Type I; expensive. Can shrink and crack. Can be difficult to use. Should not be used in the presence of strong acids or in low-pH environments.
Type III	High early strength.	May prove useful in situations where high early strength is needed, such as borehole walls that have a tendency to collapse.	Not a common cement. Can set very quickly before decommissioning is completed. Should not be used in the presence of strong acids or in low-pH environments.
Type IV	Low heat of hydration.	May prove useful in situations where a low heat of hydration is required	Not a common cement. Should not be used in the presence of strong acids or in low-pH environments.
Type V	Similar to Type I, with high resistance to sulfate and brine.	High resistance to sulfate and brine. Low heat of hydration.	Ultimate strength is less than Types I and III. Expensive; should not be used in the presence of strong acids or in low-pH environments. Can be difficult to use. Can shrink or crack.
K	Expansive cement.	Basically Type I or Type II Portland Cement with additions (tricalcium sulfo aluminate for example) to provide for expansion. Expansion is generally in the range from 0.05 to 0.20 % Good resistance to sulfate attack.	
API 10 Class A	Similar to ASTM Type I.	Can be used to a depth of 6000 ft (1828 m). Forms a good seal when used with bentonite in 3 to 5 % concentration. Commonly available and can be purchased premixed on-site.	High heats of hydration may be a problem in PVC-cased wells. Can shrink and crack; low-sulfate resistance. Should not be used in the presence of strong acids or in low-pH environments.
Class B	Similar to ASTM Type II.	Can be used to depth of 6000 ft (1828 m). Moderate heat of hydration. Moderate resistance to sulfate. Available as a high-sulfate resistant variety.	Somewhat slower strength development than Type I; expensive. Can shrink and crack. Can be difficult to use. Should not be used in the presence of strong acids or in low-pH environments.
Class C	Similar to ASTM Type III.	Can be used to a depth of 6000 ft (1828 m).	Can set very quickly before decommissioning is completed. Should not be used in the presence of strong acids or in low-pH environments. Can shrink and crack.
Class G	Useful in a wide range of temperatures and depths through the use of accelerators or retarders.	Can be used to a depth of 8000 ft (2438 m). Available as a sulfate-resistant variety.	Should not be used in the presence of strong acids or in low-pH environments. Can shrink and crack.
Class H	Useful in a wide range of depths and temperatures through the use of accelerators or retarders.	Can be used to a depth of 8000 ft (2438 m). Available only as a moderate sulfate type.	Should not be used in the presence of strong acids or in low-pH environments. Can shrink and crack.
Class J	Intended for use from a depth 12 000 to 16 000 ft (3658 to 4877 m).	Has use where extremely high temperatures and pressures occur.	Should not be used in the presence of strong acids or in low-pH environments. Can shrink and crack.
Pozzolanic cement	Addition of silicious materials to ASTM Type V or API Class A cement.	Good resistance to corrosive conditions and in reducing the permeability of cement.	Many types of materials can be used that can result in variable results.
Epoxy cements	Vinyl ester resins.	Good chemical resistance to acids and bases. Can use available equipment to place cement.	Very expensive. Poor chemical resistance to chlorinated hydrocarbons and acetic acid. Should be used only by experienced personnel. Water accelerates curing, must use diesel oil to precondition hole (diesel may increase contamination of site if hydrocarbons are a concern).
Bentonite Pellets	Granular bentonite compressed into a tablet	Uniform in size. Easy to use. Produces a low permeability seal.	Must be hydrated after placement. Shrinkage may occur when desiccated or when in contact with high concentrations of organic compounds (greater than 2 %) or materials that are strongly acidic or alkaline. Expensive.
Chips	Raw mined montmorillonite in the form of chunks 1/4 to 3/4 in. (.64 to 1.91 m) in size.	Inexpensive. No mixing equipment required. Forms a low-permeability seal.	Difficult to place. Must be hydrated after placement. Less swelling than beneficiated bentonite. Shrinkage may occur when desiccated when in contact with high concentrations of organic compounds (greater than 2 %) or materials that are strongly acidic or alkaline.
Granular	Raw mined montmorillonite crushed and seared to an 8 to 20-mesh size.	Can be placed at depth in dry holes. Forms a low-permeability seal.	Difficult to place in holes containing water as it quickly hydrates. Can bridge in hole. May desiccate when in contact with high concentrations of organic compounds (greater than 2 %) or materials that are strongly acidic or alkaline causing shrinkage.

Pl
Pc

Hi

extr
to O
6.
ope
ities
6.be a
mac
addi
µm
12 1
micr
unc
and
info
in h
limi
cem
dens6.
consthe
volc
clay:
artif
ries,
large
pozz6.
dens(dep
3.2 ()
to c
resis
pozz

6.

TAB

Ce

V
V (plu
A 5

TABLE 1 Continued

Plugging Material	Description	Positive Attributes	Negative Attributes
Powdered	Pulverized and seared bentonite that passes a 200-mesh screen. Used as drilling mud or as an additive to cement.	Used with cement to compensate for shrinkage (under saturated conditions). Other additives can be used to inhibit swelling, etc. Retards cement set; lowers heat of hydration.	May not be a desirable plugging material in deep vadose zones due to the drying out of the material, resulting in cracking. Difficult to place in holes containing water, as it quickly hydrates. Can bridge in hole. May desiccate when in contact with high concentrations of organic compounds (greater than 2%) or materials that are strongly acidic or alkaline causing shrinkage.
High solids clay grout	Powdered bentonite (200 mesh) mixed with fresh water to form a slurry with a minimum of 20% solids and a density of 9.4 lb/gal (1126 Kg/m ³ g/L).	Does not shrink during curing. Low density reduces formation losses. Forms a low-permeability seal that stays flexible as long as it is hydrated.	May not be a desirable plugging material in deep vadose zones due to the drying out of the material, resulting in cracking. May desiccate when in contact with high concentrations of organic compounds (greater than 2%) or materials that are strongly acidic or alkaline causing shrinkage. A low-strength material subject to expansion under low-pressure differentials such as artesian conditions.

extremely high temperatures and pressures can be expected to occur.

6.6.7 *Other Cements*—Other cements have been developed that may have applicability in decommissioning activities. These include the following:

6.6.7.1 Ultralight cements with a slurry density that can be as low as 6 lb/gal (719 cm Kg/L). This material can be made by foaming the cement with nitrogen or through the addition of hollow glass microspheres between 60 and 315 µm in diameter. The latter forms a slurry of between 9 and 12 lb/gal (1078 and 1438 Kg/L). Ultralight cements and microspheres have been reported (4) for cement unconsolidated sands and for plugging cavernous formations and lost circulation zones. Reference (5) provided similar information on microspheres. Microspheres can also be used in high-pressure applications when it may be desirable to limit density increases. Another advantage is the low water/cement ratio due to the low water absorbency and low density (5).

6.6.7.2 *Pozzolanic-Portland Cements*—These cements consist of silicious materials that develop into a cement in the presence of lime and water. Both natural materials of volcanic origin such as perlites (volcanic ashes), heat-treated clays, shales, tuffs, opaline cherts, diatomaceous earth and artificial materials consisting of byproducts from glass factories, furnace slag, and fly ash have been used (2, 4, 6). The large variety of materials that can be used as a source for pozzolans may result in variable results.

6.6.7.3 Pozzolans act to extend cement and decrease density. The specific gravity of fly ash ranges from 2.3 to 2.7 (depending upon the source) while portland cement is 3.1 to 3.2 (2). These materials can also provide improved resistance to corrosive fluids. Table 2 provides a comparison of sulfate resistance between ASTM Type V cement with and without pozzolans.

6.6.7.4 The improved resistance to corrosive materials is

TABLE 2 Comparison of ASTM Type V Cement With and Without Pozzolan Materials^A

Cement Type	Relative Degree of Sulfate Attack	Percentage of Water Soluble Sulfate (as SO ₄) in Soil, ppm	Sulfate (as SO ₄) in Water Samples, ppm
V	Severe	0.20 to 2.00	1 500 to 10 000
V (plus pozzolan)	Very severe	2.00 or more	10 000 or more

^A See Ref (4).

accomplished in part as many pozzolans contain zeolites which have the ability for ion exchange between the corrosive material and the alkaline component in the cement (7). Secondly, the use of pozzolans also decreases cement permeability over time. This occurs as a result of the increased percentage in hydrated cement containing materials resulting from the release of calcium hydroxide and the silica combining with lime from the cement to form a stable material (8).

6.6.7.5 Pozzolans are added to portland cement by adding 74 lb (33.6 Kg) (as fly ash) per sack of cement. If perlites are used, 2 to 6% of bentonite by weight is needed to keep the perlite from floating (9).

6.6.8 Gypsum cements can be used for high early strength development and their ability to set rapidly. These cements expand approximately 0.3%. This cement may have use in plugging highly permeable formations. Care should be taken in using gypsum cements due to the solubility of gypsum.

6.6.9 *Epoxy Resin Cements*—Epoxy (vinyl ester resins) cements may have applicability in decommissioning wells and boreholes where corrosive materials may be present (5).

6.6.9.1 Epoxy cement consists of an epoxy base, hardener, accelerator, and inert filler. Resin viscosity is reduced by the addition of a nonreactive liquid diluent that also controls exothermic heat during polymerization. An inert solid filler such as very fine silica or barite is added to further reduce reaction heat and increase strength (10).

6.6.9.2 There are several advantages of using epoxy cements. Reference (11) reports that a stronger bond occurred between the casing and the formation. Cole also reported resistance to various chemicals (see Table 3). The cement is highly resistant to high concentrations of hydrochloric and sulfuric acid, but is not suitable for use in environments where acetic acid, chlorinated hydrocarbons, or toluene are present.

TABLE 3 List of Chemicals Reported Not to Affect Epoxy Cement^A

Chemical	Concentration, %
Hydrochloric acid	30
Sulfuric acid	25
Chromic acid	up to 10
Nitric acid	5
Hydroxide	up to 20
Hypochlorite	up to 6

^A See Ref (11).

6.6.9.3 This cement is expensive and requires removal of water (that reduces settling time) through the use of gelled and weighted diesel oil to precondition the hole. The use of this material may increase contamination at the site, if diesel oil (hydrocarbons) are a concern at the site.

6.6.10 **Cement Additives**—A number of materials can be added to cement to modify properties to meet a specific need. Cement additives can be used to extend, accelerate, retard, increase density, control fluid losses, control circulation losses, or reduce friction (4). Several of these materials have more than one use; for example, sodium chloride can be used to accelerate or retard cement. The most common cement additives are discussed in the following subsections. Figure 1 lists these additives and presents the relative impact of their use on selected performance criteria.

6.7 **Extenders:**

6.7.1 Bentonite is the most commonly used material in modifying cement properties. It can be added to most ASTM and API cements. In decommissioning activities, the percentage of bentonite added is generally no more than 4 %. It has the following effects when added to cement (4):

- 6.7.1.1 Lowers the hydraulic conductivity of the cement;
 - 6.7.1.2 Increases slurry viscosity;
 - 6.7.1.3 Reduces fluid loss to the formation;
 - 6.7.1.4 Provides for a longer pumpability at normal pressures as a result of delaying strength development;
 - 6.7.1.5 Reduces compressive strength; and
 - 6.7.1.6 Lowers resistance to chemical attack.
- 6.7.2 Bentonite increases shrinkage as it ties up large volumes of water that would normally be in the cement. A

second common extender are pozzolans which have already been addressed in 6.6.7.2.

6.8 **Accelerators:**

6.8.1 Accelerators hasten the settling of cement and are useful when voids occur, or when cement plugs are to be used in the first pour. Two common materials are used; calcium chloride and sodium chloride.


6.8.2 Calcium chloride is available as a powder or flake. Flakes are the most commonly used form, as it is easy to store and can absorb some moisture without becoming lumpy (2). Two to four % of calcium chloride by weight is used to achieve maximum acceleration. The use of calcium chloride should be considered when a rapid set, a decrease in viscosity, and early strength are desired.

6.8.3 Sodium chloride can be added between 1.5 to 5 % by weight of cement to reduce setting time. Maximum acceleration occurs at a concentration of 2 to 2.5 % except when higher water ratios are used (2).

6.9 **Retarders:**

6.9.1 Sodium chloride can be used to retard the settling of cement as well as accelerate the setting of cement. Fifteen to seventeen % salt (14 to 16 lb (6.35 to 7.26 Kg) of salt per sack) by weight is added to retard cement (2).

6.9.2 Other chemicals (cellulose, lignosulfates) have been used as retarders, but are not appropriate for decommissioning activities without additional information on their compatibility with waste and their effect on water quality. Reference (12) indicates that sugar-derived retarders such as cellulose lignosulfates are destructive to cement strength and should not be used where strength is important. Organic



x Denotes minor effect.
 ⊙ Denotes major effect and/or principal purpose for which use.
 + Carboxymethyl hydroxyethyl cellulose.
 * Small percentages of sodium chloride accelerate thickening.

NOTE—See Ref (3).

FIG. 1 Effects of Some Additives on the Physical Properties of Cement

ret:
imj
to
atlt
but
€
€
use
hav
wat
sui1
trib
6
pha
form
dec
ma:
a gc
6
6
and
Rec
cenn
add
chlc
redu
calc
decc
6.
the
to a
its c
flexi
form
in 6
seal
siti
water
tion.
6.
drau
achi
of h
acid:
there
com
6.
dere:
com:
Pelle
unifc
high-
a we
perr
satur
short
50 lb
6.
nuts
deco

retarders should not be used for decommissioning activities.

6.10 *Density Improvers*—The density of cement can be improved to increase hydrostatic pressure. Sand can be used to increase density without affecting the cement chemically although additional water is required. Barite has been used, but may interact with waste and should not be used.

6.11 *Fluid Loss Controllers*:

6.11.1 Various organic materials such as cellulose can be used to produce a constant water to solids ratio that may have applicability when a grout is placed under pressure and water loss can occur. However, these materials may not be suitable for decommissioning activities, as they may contribute to contamination.

6.11.2 These organic materials (fibrous materials, cellophane flakes) act to block the movement of the grout into the formation. It is not desirable to use these materials in decommissioning activities due to their organic content that may adversely affect water quality and also may not result in a good plug.

6.12 *Friction Reducers (Dispersants)*:

6.12.1 These materials reduce friction to improve flow and can be effective when the water cement ratio is reduced. Reduction of the water cement ratio is a method to decrease cement friction. (It is possible to reduce the amount of water added by using a dispersant (5). These materials (sodium chloride, polymers, and calcium lignosulfonate) also help to reduce the energy required to pump the grout. Polymers and calcium lignosulfonate may not be appropriate materials for decommissioning activity as they may affect water quality.

6.13 *Bentonite*—Bentonite is predominantly composed of the clay mineral sodium montmorillonite. It has the ability to absorb large quantities of water and swell to many times its original size when hydrated, and the material remains flexible. Bentonite clay may be used in any of its various forms to meet placement, strength, and sealing criteria listed in 6.3. The amount of shrinkage or settling of a bentonite seal is dependent on the percent solids of bentonite, composition of surrounding formation and its soil moisture. Higher water to bentonite ratios increase the likelihood of dehydration.

6.13.1 The permeability of bentonite is very low; hydraulic conductivities of 1×10^{-6} cm/s or less can be achieved. However, bentonite may desiccate in the presence of high concentrations of some organic chemicals, strong acids or bases, saline ground water, or when allowed to dry, thereby increasing its hydraulic conductivity. Bentonite is commercially available in the following forms:

6.13.1.1 *Pellets*—Pellets are made from granular powdered bentonite that has been compressed into tablets, commonly $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ in. (.64 cm to 1.91 cm) in diameter. Pellets have a low-moisture content, high density, and uniform size. Pellets should be composed of additive-free, high-swelling granular sodium bentonite. Properly placed in a well or borehole, pellets hydrate and expand creating a low permeability (1×10^{-6} cm/s) plug. Pellets can be used in the saturated zone provided the length of the water column is short. The rate of pour into the hole should not be more than 50 lb (22.7 Kg) of bentonite in 5 min (1).

6.13.1.2 *Preformed Donuts*—Commercial preformed donuts consist of compressed bentonite and may have use in decommissioning activities.

6.13.2 *Chips*—Raw mined sodium montmorillonite in the form of chunks that are $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{3}{4}$ in. (6.4 to 1.91 cm) in diameter. Their angular shape can make it difficult to place chips to the desired depth in a small-diameter well or borehole without bridging.

6.13.2.1 Fine-grained material resulting from the mechanical breakdown during shipping may cause a problem in the placement of chips due to clumping. Fines should be screened through a $\frac{1}{4}$ -in. (6.4-mm) mesh screen before use.

6.13.2.2 The lower affinity for water that chips have allow them to fall through a water column without rapid hydration.

6.13.2.3 Chips have applicability in large-diameter boreholes and when carefully dropped into the hole to reduce bridging.

6.13.3 *Granular*—Raw-mined sodium montmorillonite without any additives that has been crushed and seared to an 8 to 20-mesh size. This material can be placed at depth in dry holes but hydrates quickly when placed into water. It often sticks to wet borehole walls and bridges when placed through water. Granular material is best suited for use in the unsaturated zone with enough water added to provide adequate hydration.

6.13.3.1 Fines can clump when in contact with water (1). Fines result from mechanical breakdown of the material during shipping. Granular bentonite should be poured slowly to reduce the potential for bridging. In some situations, a pour rate not exceeding 50 lb (227 Kg) in 5 min has been used successfully (1).

6.13.4 *Powdered*—Untreated, seared, and ground bentonite that passes through a 200-mesh screen. It is designed to be used in drilling fluids (muds) and as an additive to other plugging materials such as cement. Bentonite powder slurry can become an effective grout material when combined with density-increasing additives and swelling inhibitors. Powdered bentonite should not be placed in dry form through water as it can bridge and stick to the borehole walls.

6.13.5 *High Solids Clay Grout*—This material is a blend of powdered polymer-free bentonite clays mixed with fresh water that forms a slurry with a minimum 20 % solids by weight and a density of 9.4 lb/gal (1126m³ Kg/L). The slurry sets to a low-permeable plastic grout that generates no heat of hydration and does not shrink during curing in the presence of moisture. High solids clay grouts are commonly used for borehole plugging.

6.14 *Other Materials*:

6.14.1 A number of other materials have been used for plugging:

6.14.1.1 Attapulgite clay (may have applicability when used with a salt cement grout)⁵

6.14.1.2 Fire clay

6.14.1.3 Commercial packing materials

6.14.1.4 Packers

6.14.2 These materials are either inappropriate for use in decommissioning wells or boreholes where hazardous waste are encountered, or are not well studied for decommissioning wells in hazardous waste situations. Therefore, they

⁵ Sutton, Fred, Personal Communication, 1990.

are not discussed in this guide.

6.14.3 Other materials have been used in the past for plugging wells and boreholes. Such materials as wooden or lead plugs should not be used because wood plugs may decay and lead is a potentially hazardous material. Mechanical packers composed of steel, plastic, or other materials can be used to assist in plugging.

7. Procedure

7.1 The primary purpose of most boreholes and monitoring wells is to monitor chemical compounds in the soil and ground water; however, there are other uses for subsurface monitoring including the measurement of temperature, soil gas sampling, or measurement of geophysical parameters. Use significant care in planning and implementing the decommissioning activity. It is important to obtain any required approvals from regulatory agencies, land owners, responsible parties, and other parties involved with the site. The following subsections present a recommended list of tasks in order that the decommissioning activity is successfully completed. Several of the steps outlined below do not pertain to boreholes and may be omitted.

7.2 Planning:

7.2.1 *Records Review*—Carefully review all available records and information relating to use of the monitoring well, borehole, etc. This review may include the following information:

7.2.1.1 Review applicable Federal, state, and local regulations relating to decommissioning activities. This may include contacting the applicable state or local agency having jurisdiction over drilling activities and preparation of the necessary documentation to drill (start card),

7.2.1.2 Collection of drillers' logs, geophysical logs, well construction, or geologic logs, including stratigraphy, structural geology, subsurface information, construction materials, screened interval, depth, hydraulic gradients (if water levels are available from other wells for its determination), legal location, date of installation, and photographs of the well,

7.2.1.3 Review of analytical chemical data for soil and ground water over the life of the well, and variations in water levels over time,

7.2.1.4 Review of records of the repairs, modifications, or other changes made to the well during the lifetime of the well,

7.2.1.5 Evaluation of historic, current, and planned land use,

7.2.1.6 Interviews with local workers and collection of other pertinent data such as discussing site conditions with local drillers, and

7.2.1.7 While not directly part of the decommissioning activity, proper disposal of displaced fluids and other materials (such as pulled or drilled out casing and cement seals) should be considered. Some of these materials may be classified as a hazardous waste under Federal, state, or local regulations. Conduct a review of these regulations and appropriate analytical documentation prior to classifying a material as a hazardous waste.

NOTE 9—This information may be summarized in a work plan. A work plan would also include a description of the site geology and hydrogeology and the decommissioning method to be used.

7.2.2 *Verification of Field Data*—The variety and quality of field practices and reporting require that the well be inspected to verify the actual field situation prior to decommissioning the well. The following list of procedures is recommended so that the actual condition of the well is known. Some of the borehole geophysical logs may not be applicable or may not be available for small diameter (2 in. (5.08 cm) or less) holes or wells.

7.2.2.1 Inspection of well head installation for integrity,

7.2.2.2 Current depth measurement of the casing and well. (The original depth of the borehole may be different than the well.),

7.2.2.3 *Water Quality Sampling and Analysis*—A final water quality sample taken from the well may be required for regulatory purposes;

7.2.2.4 *Downhole Inspections*—Including caliper logs to measure inside diameter; television logs to determine in-well conditions such as casing breaks, screen size, etc.; gamma logs to verify geologic information, if not already available; cement bond logs (sonic) to determine if the casing is firmly attached to grout (presently available for holes 2½ in. (6.35 cm) or larger in diameter); flow logs (flow meter or spinners) to determine if vertical flow occurs within the casing; and hydraulic integrity test to determine if the well casing is intact.

NOTE 10—Care should be taken in running any of these tools in a well with a collapsed or broken casing, or in boreholes that may collapse on the tool. Tools with active radioactive sources should not be used under these circumstances. Conduct downhole inspections only after obstructions are removed from the well casing or borehole.

7.2.2.5 Contact local owner, resident operator/observer to verify operations at the site.

7.2.2.6 Verification of field data is an ongoing responsibility. Use verified information to modify plans in order that the decommissioning activity is correctly conducted. Continue this activity during the field phase and change specifications as needed.

7.2.3 *Review of Decommissioning Options*—After the records have been thoroughly reviewed and verified in the field, select an appropriate decommissioning procedure. Evaluate each possible option to determine the most appropriate method for the selection. The following list of evaluation criteria is recommended:

7.2.3.1 The potential for fluid movement from one aquifer into another by means of the borehole or well should be eliminated.

7.2.3.2 Materials to be used in plugging must be compatible with well casing and screen (if left in place), and with subsurface formation and ground water, etc. over the period of time hazardous materials are found at these sites.

7.2.3.3 Future land use (as is known at the time of decommissioning) should be compatible with decommissioning plans.

7.2.3.4 Closure options should be compatible with applicable federal, state, and local requirements.

7.3 Implementation:

7.3.1 *Field Procedure:*

7.3.1.1 Satisfactory completion of decommissioning is the primary purpose of this guide. All work performed on the borehole or well should be completed by competently trained drillers, equipped with appropriate tools, under the

dir
qu:
acc
app
7
pla
eng
7
the
as
acc
7.5
for
(if :
for
acti
occ
7
or
ma:
suit
for
forn
veri
sior
inte
diffi
nec
casi
ider
App
7.
kno
not
may
7.
con
con
bore
occu
plug
men
decr
slou
rock
part
bore
men
and
to th
7.
bore
or st
mate
prec
low-
bore
situa
used
prep
cutti

direction of a geological or engineering professional who is qualified to certify that the decommissioning is completed according to the planned procedures and is consistent with applicable regulations.

7.3.1.2 Approve any modifications to the proposed work plan and record in writing by the on-site geologist or engineer (or their representatives) prior to implementation.

7.3.1.3 The geologist or engineer should be on-site during the field activities to verify that the activities are completed as planned. Decommissioning operations can be successfully accomplished by careful planning and documentation (see 7.5). Maintain documentation of decommissioning activities for the post-closure period or period required by regulations (if specified). While regulations may require documentation for a period of 30 years, it is advisable to continue this activity for a period lasting as long as hazardous materials occur at the site.

7.3.1.4 Remove casing from the ground by either pulling or overdrilling (see 7.3.7). Depending upon construction, it may be necessary to leave the casing in place and produce suitable perforations in the screen and blank casing to allow for the plugging material to penetrate the annular space and formation (see 7.3.7). If grout in the annular space can be verified to be in good condition, the well can be decommissioned by cutting the blank casing and filling the screened interval with grout. Verifying the integrity of grout may be difficult to impossible. If a filter pack is present, it may be necessary to remove the filter pack after perforating the casing by washing or overdrilling. Several of the methods identified in this subsection are briefly discussed in the Appendix X1.

7.3.1.5 If well construction conditions are not adequately known and the well site contains hazardous materials, it may not be appropriate to remove the casing and screen, as this may increase the mobility of hazardous materials.

7.3.1.6 The borehole or well, or both, may require preconditioning for decommissioning to be successful. Preconditioning can reduce the potential for sloughing of the borehole wall if for example, a sodium montmorillonite clay occurs naturally in the formation and cement is used as the plugging material. The calcium contained in Portland cement exchanges with the sodium cation in bentonite clay decreasing the water contained in the clay and inducing sloughing. This problem can be significant in sediments or rocks that are under considerable pressure, causing a loss in part of the hole, thereby not completely plugging the borehole and possibly causing loss of all downhole equipment. These conditions are usually known by local drilling and well servicing contractors who should be contacted prior to the start of field operations.

7.3.1.7 Preconditioning consists of removing mud from borehole walls (when mud is used for drilling the borehole), or stabilizing a borehole prior to placement of the plugging material. If a drilling mud has been used to drill a borehole, preconditioning can involve the circulation of a high-quality, low-solids drilling fluid to remove gelled mud from the borehole and borehole walls prior to plugging. For the above situation, a high-quality bentonite or drilling fluid can be used. If a drilling mud is used as the plugging material, prepare fresh mud. The mud used in drilling contains cuttings and may also not have suitable properties (13). The

selection and use of material(s) should meet the requirements specified in Section 6.

7.3.2 Volume of Plugging Material Required:

7.3.2.1 The geologist or engineer should calculate the volume of plugging material required for the borehole or well after first taking into consideration applicable loss of material to the formation, voids intersecting the borehole, changes in borehole diameter, washout zones, and swelling or shrinkage of material. An approximation of the volume of material that may be required can frequently be provided by contacting local drillers or professionals.

7.3.2.2 Loss of plugging material into the formation may occur rapidly (within minutes) or after several hours or days. The volume of plugging material required to be on-site should be at a minimum, enough to fill one borehole volume, however, it is advisable to have available a minimum of 25 to 50 % in excess of the calculated borehole volume (1, 2). Additional plugging material should be readily available to site personnel under short notice, especially if it is common to lose plugging material into the formation or the material is required for clearing a hole (see 7.3.8.2).

7.3.2.3 A caliper log is helpful in boreholes or overdrilled holes to define hole diameter. If this information is not available, use an estimate of borehole diameter from the available well construction specifications. Calculate the volume of the borehole using the following:

$$v = \pi r^2 L$$

where:

v = volume;

L = length of borehole or well to be plugged, and

r = radius of hole.

7.3.2.4 Manuals listing the volume of a hole per linear foot (metre) such as Ref (3) can also be used. These manuals are available through major deep well cementing service companies. Assume in the calculations or table used that the derived volume is the minimum required for actual conditions due to possible loss of plugging material into the formation. Verify grout emplaced through estimating the volume of material leaving the hole for holes filled with mud or water. For all boreholes, measure the volume of material emplaced and check for the appearance of the material at the top of the interval grouted or land's surface, whichever is applicable.

7.3.2.5 Location of the grout at depth can be difficult because the top of the grout may not be able to be distinguished from water or other fluids in the borehole. One field procedure that has been applied to measure the level of the grout is to use a wooden sounding block with a weight attached to the block. The weight should be slightly denser than the grout.

NOTE 11—Location of the depth of plugging material in a borehole or well does not confirm that the plug has performed its intended purpose. Plugging materials may not have adhered to the walls of the borehole or well or may have been bypassed from the target zone. Therefore, placement of plugging materials is highly dependent upon proper preparation of the hole.

7.3.2.6 In areas where coarse materials are encountered, or considerable fracturing or solution openings occur, two or three times the calculated volume of material may be required to fill the hole. In extreme cases such as in some karst terrains where large conduits may exist, it may be

difficult to plug a well or borehole. In these situations, packers can be used to isolate critical intervals for filling with plugged materials above or below the karstic formations. Packers may also be used to isolate critical intervals within a well or borehole throughout the entire depth.

7.3.3 Quality of Water Used for Grout—Grout must be carefully mixed using water of a known chemical quality. The quality of the water must be compatible with the grouting material and not introduce contamination into the subsurface. For cement, water used should be free of silt, organic matter, alkali compounds, and have a total solids content of 500 mg/L or less (14). The grout should be weighed using a mud balance.

NOTE 12—Thicker grout mixtures may have greater effectiveness in plugging highly permeable materials or materials with large voids, but may be very difficult to pump through a grout pipe. Less viscous mixtures are easy to pump, but may be too mobile, have greater shrinkage, and take longer to set if additives to compensate these problems are not added.

7.3.4 Mixing of Cement and Bentonite On-Site—Both cement and bentonite can be mixed on site. Cement can also be purchased from a contractor and delivered premixed on site, provided the water used is of known and acceptable quality.

7.3.5 Use of Curing Accelerators—Curing accelerators can be used to hasten the initial set time for cement from 6 to 8 h to perhaps 2 or 3 h, provided the accelerator (typically calcium chloride, sodium chloride, aluminum powder, or gypsum) does not degrade the cement or react with the environment. The use of these materials may also require approval by regulatory authorities.

7.3.6 Decommissioning of Boreholes:

7.3.6.1 To achieve an effective seal, the borehole should be free of debris and foreign matter that may restrict the adhesion of the plugging materials to the borehole wall. Clear boreholes of excessive mud filtercake, or gelled mud (if used) and any bridges resulting from the removal of temporary casing, or when noncohesive materials (such as sand and gravel) are encountered that can lead to a collapsed borehole during decommissioning activities.

7.3.6.2 One method commonly used for effective removal of these materials is to advance a small grout pipe to the bottom of the borehole by use of water or a high-quality bentonite slurry and flush the hole. Flushing is continued until the blockage is removed, or noncohesive formations are stabilized. Use at least two borehole volume of materials. If the flushing fluid returning to the surface contains potentially hazardous materials, it may be necessary to place this material into containers for proper disposal.

7.3.6.3 As soon as the borehole is prepared, pump plugging material slowly through a grout pipe to displace the flushing fluid. Inject grout starting from the bottom of the hole, forcing other fluids upward. Complete grouting slowly to prevent channeling of the grout around any undesirable material remaining in the hole. Complete this operation in one continuous operation. Raise the grout pipe when pumping pressure increases significantly, or when undiluted grout reaches the surface. Regularly sample and evaluate overflowing grout for weight, presence of foreign material, or other changes. When the overflowing grout is similar to that

being pumped down the hole, the plugging is considered complete.

7.3.6.4 Grout pipes should be the largest diameter that is practical for field operations to reduce the required pumping pressure. Cut the lower end of the pipe at an angle to allow for the side discharge of the grout. Side discharge reduces the potential for erosion of the borehole wall. Typically, heavy-walled PVC (Schedule 40 or greater) or thin-walled steel pipe is used for grouting purposes. Use caution while grouting deeper holes in order that downhole pressure does not exceed the rupture strength of the grout pipe.

7.3.6.5 Grouting of shallow auger holes or other boreholes where grout pumping equipment is not readily available may be accomplished by placing grout through a side discharge grout pipe that has a funnel attached to the top. As the grouting progresses, slowly raise the pipe. Take care when using this procedure, as the low placement pressure may not completely fill or flush undesirable materials from the borehole. This procedure is only recommended when the entire borehole depth is 10 to 15 ft (3 to 4.6 m) or less.

7.3.6.6 Small diameter (<2-in. (5.08 cm-mm)) holes are difficult to plug. A small diameter (3/4-in. (1.9 cm-mm)) grout pipe can be used, however, high-pumping pressures may be required or less viscous plugging materials may be necessary.

NOTE 13—It is important to avoid hydrofracturing or blowout in shallow holes in unconsolidated materials when pressure grouting. A general rule to avoid hydrofracturing is to restrict pumping pressure to about 0.6 lb/in.² (0.42 Kg/cm²) of hydraulic pressure for each foot (metre) of overburden. In some instances, the pressure used must be reduced further.

7.3.6.7 A conservative method (15) of calculating maximum pressure is as follows:

$$P_m = (0.733 - 0.433 S_g)d$$

where:

P_m = pressure of fluid injected at wellhead, lb/in.² (Kg/cm²),

S_g = density (specific gravity) of the plugging material (grout) (unitless), and

d = depth measured for the surface to the opening of the grout pipe (metres) feet.

Hydrofracturing typically occurs during start-up or restart of grouting.

7.3.6.8 Inject grout at the bottom of the hole, displacing grout, loose formation materials, and borehole fluids upward. Slowly pump grout to avoid channeling. Do this in one continuous operation. Raise the grout pipe when grout can no longer be easily forced from the pipe into the hole or when undiluted grout reaches the top of the hole and flows out. Sample and weigh this material. The weight of the grout returning to the surface should be the same as the grout pumped into the hole.

7.3.7 Control of Elevated Formation Pressures—Occasionally, a borehole or well may penetrate a formation that is under confined conditions (artesian head), or from which a gas is being released, under pressure. (Gas bubbling upward through the grout may result in open channels, or increase the permeability of the grout.) When this condition is encountered, sealing of the borehole requires that the grout pressure be maintained greater than the formation pressure until initial grout set occurs. The "shut-in-pressure" of the

for
att:
cor
lea
gro
the
7
for
occ
cor
adc
of
(ba
An
thro
rea
gro
star
use
the
7
24
ma
leve
seve
any
the
und
may
is o
prec

formation can usually be determined by use of pressure gages attached to a casing that has been pushed or driven into the confining unit. This casing must be tightly sealed to prevent leakage around its annulus. (Separate packers or casing grout, or both, may be required to prevent leakage around the casing.)

7.3.7.1 Several procedures may be used to balance the formation pressure until the initial set of the grout has occurred. (See Fig. 2.) The procedure most often used to contain the pressure is to use a sufficient column of grout. If additional head pressure is required, increase the unit weight of the grout by the addition of sand, densifying additives (barite, or hematite) or decreasing the water cement ratio. Another alternative commonly used is to pump the grout through a check valve into the casing until the top of grout reaches the desired elevation. Maintain pressure above the grout by use of compressed air, or by use of a simple standpipe filled with water. If the standpipe procedure is used, pump grout through a tremie pipe extending through the water to prevent dilution.

7.3.7.2 After the initial grout set has occurred (minimum 24 h), the sustaining pressure (above atmospheric pressure) may be released. However, record the air pressure (or fluid level) in the air filled casing above the grout frequently for several days to verify that no leakage is occurring. If gas (of any variety) is present in the formation, analyze a sample of the air from the casing above the grout. Care should be taken under these conditions, as explosive or hazardous conditions may be present. Methane, the most commonly produced gas, is odorless, colorless, toxic, and extremely flammable. Safety precautions are advised.

7.3.7.3 Only after testing results confirm that the seal is effective, is it advisable to complete the permanent closure or removal of the near (or above) surface casing.

7.3.7.4 Measure any fallback or settlement of the grout surface (whether by gravity or under pressure) that occurs after grout emplacement to the nearest foot and record. Correct any fallback with native or imported materials to grade, or to a specified depth below grade, such as below the plow layer. The depth is based on existing and proposed land use and regulatory requirements.

7.3.8 Decommissioning of Wells and Other Monitoring Devices:

7.3.8.1 This discussion has applicability in decommissioning ground water monitoring and injection wells and soil gas monitoring wells, neutron probe access tubes, lysimeter and tensiometer and installations, and similar devices. Appendix X1 should be consulted for additional information.

7.3.8.2 It is desirable to remove all existing well construction materials such as screen, casing, filter pack, seal, and grout from the hole to reduce the potential for the formation of a vertical conduit to occur at the contact between casing and annular seal; reduce the potential of these materials interfering with the decommissioning operation; decrease the potential of a reaction between the materials used; or to minimize the reaction with the native materials or ground water. In situations where well materials are removed and borehole collapse occurs, redrill the borehole following the guidance provided in 7.3.6.

7.3.8.3 Steel casing may be removed using jacks to free casing from the hole followed by lifting the casing out by using a drill rig, backhoe, cranes, etc. of sufficient capacity. If




FIG. 2 Two Procedures That Can Be Used to Overcome Elevated Formation Pressure

the annular space has been cemented over a long distance, this method may not be readily used unless a poor contact occurs between the casing and borehole. Small lengths of cement (typically less than 10 ft (3 m)) can be removed along with the casing if the drill rig has sufficient lifting capacity. When the casing cannot be removed, perforate the casing and screen using a suitable tool. This is necessary as encrustation or corrosion of the screen can block or completely close openings. A wide variety of commercial equipment is available for perforating casings and screens. Due to the diversity of application, consult an experienced contractor prior to selection of the technique. A minimum of four rows of perforations several inches (millimetres) long and a minimum of five perforations per linear foot (metre) of casing or screen is recommended.

7.3.8.4 Remove steel casing by overdrilling (overreaming) the casing. Overreaming can be done using an overreaming tool (see Fig. 3). Select a pilot bit that is nearly the same size as the inside diameter of the casing. The reaming bit should be slightly larger than the borehole diameter to remove all well construction materials and a small amount of native material. As drilling proceeds, the casing, grout, bentonite seal, filter pack, and other well materials are destroyed and returned to the surface. In situations when the grout in the annular space can be verified to be in good condition, it may be very difficult to remove casing and grout and grout can be left in place by pressure grouting the screened interval and casing. Verifying the integrity of the grout can be difficult.

7.3.8.5 PVC and other low tensile strength materials




FIG. 3 Over Drilling by Mud Rotary Procedure

generally cannot be removed by pulling if they have been properly cemented into place. Overdrilling is necessary to remove these casing and screen materials.

7.3.8.6 A hollow stem auger equipped with outward facing carbide cutting teeth with a diameter 2 to 4 in. (50.8 to 101.6 cm) larger than the casing may be used for overdrilling. Place the lead auger over the casing and rotate downward (see Fig. 4). The casing guides the cutting head and remains inside the auger. When the full diameter and length of the well has been penetrated, the casing and screen can be retrieved from the center of the auger. It is important to use outward facing cutting teeth in order that the cutting tool does not sever the casing and drift off center. An alternative is to install a steel guide pipe inside the well casing so that the augers can be centered.⁶ Firmly attach this temporary working pipe to the inside of the casing by use of a packer, or other type of expansion or friction device. When the auger reaches full depth and the well materials have been removed, pump plugging materials through the hollow stem as the augers are withdrawn.

NOTE 14—Local regulations may allow leaving the PVC casing in place, if a good annular seal exists, or just removing blank casing if the integrity of the annular seal can be documented. It is generally difficult to document a good annular seal (tight fit, no fractures or channels) without the use of a cement bond log or similar method. A cement bond log cannot be run in small diameter wells (less than 2.5 in. (6.35 cm) in diameter) because a small diameter tool is not currently available. Also, this method is not reliable for PVC casings.

7.3.8.7 After removal of the casing, decommissioning can be completed in accordance with 7.3.6.

⁶ Baker, Robert, Personal Communication, Layne Environmental, 1990.




FIG. 4 Over Drilling by Hollow Stem Auger Procedure

NOTE 15—Wells with a maximum depth of 10 to 15 ft (3 to 4.6 m) can be removed by overdrilling, or when possible by pulling the casing out of the ground. The open hole is then filled with grout or other suitable plugging materials. A tamping rod can be used to tamp the material into place.

7.4 Decontamination of Downhole Equipment:

7.4.1 If hazardous waste or other contaminants occur at the site, decontaminate all tools placed into the borehole or well prior to entry (see Practice D 5088). Follow state and local regulations, if applicable. A hot water pressure wash or steam cleaning are examples of two typical decontamination methods. Other methods such as the use of solvents followed by rinsing with clean tap water and allowing equipment to air dry may also be acceptable. Also contain water and other materials used for decontamination for chemical analysis to determine proper disposal methods.

7.4.2 Do not flush grout pipes out with water while in or above the hole. Conduct all cleaning operations aboveground and manage appropriately as a hazardous or nonhazardous situation depending upon chemical analytical data on the site.

7.5 Documentation:

7.5.1 *Inspection Records*—The primary purpose of records of field work is to provide that appropriate measures have been taken so that the borehole or monitoring well is permanently decommissioned in a manner that minimizes it from being a conduit for fluid, water, or vapor migration. Properly decommissioned boreholes and wells should have no adverse influence on the local environment than the original geological setting.

7.5.2 *Narrative Report*—Maintain the narrative report of activities as a permanent record of the decommissioning activities and include the full set of field activities including:

- 7.5.2.1 Decommissioning date,
- 7.5.2.2 Personnel (with listing of company representation, including phone number and address),
- 7.5.2.3 Source of decommissioning method,
- 7.5.2.4 Step-by-step procedures used in the field,
- 7.5.2.5 Record of all measurements made, depths encoun-

tered, types and volume of fluids pumped and photographs before and after, and

7.5.2.6 All other pertinent information that is required based on site conditions or regulatory requirements. Any problems encountered should also be documented in detail.

8. Report

8.1 At the completion of field work, present a formal report to document the entire procedure used in the decommissioning process for the borehole or well. Additional information or requirements may be specified in government regulations and should also be included in this report. Report in detail the following items as a minimum:

8.1.1 Background Information:

8.1.1.1 Location of the borehole/well,

8.1.1.2 Purpose of the borehole/well,

8.1.1.3 History of the borehole/well,

8.1.1.4 Chemical parameters and concentrations present during the active life of the well and at the time of plugging; and

8.1.1.5 Information collected prior to the inspection record.

8.1.2 Testing Prior to Decommissioning:

8.1.2.1 Site characterization (if applicable),

8.1.2.2 Physical testing (depth, structural condition, etc.),

8.1.2.3 Chemical testing results (last chemical analysis for record), and

8.1.2.4 Geophysical logging results (if any).

8.1.3 Decommissioning Design Procedure:

8.1.3.1 Rationale for selection of method used, and

8.1.3.2 Presentation of a decommissioning plan.

8.1.4 Field Implementation:

8.1.4.1 Description of activities,

8.1.4.2 Variance from plan, and

8.1.4.3 Result of testing and measurements.

8.1.5 *Confirmation*—Statement of regulatory compliance.

9. Keywords

9.1 abandonment; decommissioning; ground water monitoring wells; hazardous waste; plugging

APPENDIX

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. EXAMPLES OF SUCCESSFUL MONITORING WELL DECOMMISSIONING PRACTICES

X1.1 This appendix covers a general discussion of field procedures that have been used to decommission monitoring wells. These procedures are presented to provide technical guidance to assist in the development of decommissioning wells or boreholes. Local regulations may not allow implementation of some procedures. Two subsets of procedures are outlined, one for rigid steel casing and the second for lower tensile strength casing materials (plastic).

X1.2 Steel Casing:

X1.2.1 *Condition*—Properly grouted steel casing and screen, difficult to pull casing:

X1.2.1.1 *Suggestion*—Pressure pump plugging materials into well to above aquifer, place 3-ft (.91-mm) plug of plastic

material (bentonite plug) above rigid plug then complete with rigid plugging material to surface. Place a PVC or neoprene plug or packer, located at the base of the rigid (cement) material to reduce the co-mingling of fluids due to different specific gravities. Complete decommissioning at surface according to local regulations.

X1.2.1.2 *Alternative*—Perforate steel casing and screen to allow plugging material to come into contact with the annular space and formation.

X1.2.1.3 *Advantage*—If (when) casing material corrodes, the continually plastic bentonite plug will continue to provide a suitable sealing zone.



X1.2.2 *Condition*—Steel well materials, that are removable:

X1.2.2.1 *Suggestion*—Pull screen and casing, then redrill borehole to original depth using slightly larger diameter drill bit than the borehole. Complete closure by pressure sealing the borehole by grout pipe from bottom to top.

X1.2.2.2 *Advantage*—Casing and annular materials are completely removed and replaced with sealing material of equal or lower permeability (saturated zone) than the native geologic materials.

X1.2.3 *Condition*—Shallow screened well completed into permeable sand or gravel aquifer:

X1.2.3.1 *Suggestion*—Pull the casing and screen (grout also, if possible) then redrill the borehole to original depth. Completion should be by pressure sealing to the surface.

X1.2.3.2 *Advantage*—The possibility of hydraulic connections with the surface is greatly reduced.

X1.2.4 *Condition*—Steel casing and screen set into highly permeable formation (such as fractured limestone) that results in lost fluid circulation during drilling or decommissioning procedures:

X1.2.4.1 *Suggestion*—Fill highly permeable section with quick setting cement grout (addition of calcium chloride), or coarse gravel to base of casing, or hang "cement basket" at base of casing. Complete decommissioning with plugging materials pumped into place, including at least one section of permanent plastic seal.

X1.2.4.2 *Advantage*—Extremely permeable or highly cavernous materials may not be able to be filled sufficiently to allow closure similar to other cases. In such situations, prevention of vertical migration of fluids to or from that zone is the primary purpose of decommissioning.

X1.3 *Low-Tensile Strength Materials (that is, PVC, ABS, PTFE)*:

X1.3.1 *Condition*—Properly constructed well that has a sealed annulus from the surface to the top of screen:

X1.3.1.1 *Suggestions*—Pressure grout in place after perforating the entire casing so that the screen and gravel pack are filled with grout; or over-ream the well using a drill bit diameter that is a minimum or slightly larger than the

diameter of the annular space.

X1.3.2 *Condition*—Annulus is not permanently sealed and casing/screen cannot be pulled:

X1.3.2.1 *Suggestion*—Overdrill casing, screen and filter pack with a hollow stemmed auger or other suitable drilling method. Remove casing from bottom to top as augers are removed.

X1.3.2.2 *Advantage*—Complete well structure is removed. Grouting materials provide no avenue of fluid or gas migration after decommissioning.

X1.3.3 *Condition*—Annulus seal questionable; casing screen cannot be pulled:

X1.3.3.1 *Suggestion*—Use rotary tools equipped with pilot bit. Large portion of bit must be equal to or larger than diameter of original borehole. Drill out casing, annular material, to depth of well. Pressure seal after tools are removed.

X1.3.3.2 *Advantage*—Complete well structure is removed. Grouting materials do not provide pathways for fluid or gas migration after decommissioning.

X1.3.4 *Condition*—Annulus seal questionable, casing and screen may be pulled from firm clay soils:

X1.3.4.1 *Suggestion*—Use pulling rig equipped with down hole casing latch tool. Anchor tool at bottom of well and lift casing and screen. Pressure grout open hole from bottom to top. (This method may have applicability for shallow wells.)

X1.3.4.2 *Advantage*—This method is often possible in firm clay soils. Requires less expensive equipment than other procedures.

X1.3.5 *Condition*—Vadose zone monitoring devices such as lysimeters, tensiometers, neutron access holes, and similar devices installed vertically:

X1.3.5.1 *Suggestion*—Drill out the device and backfill as suggested in 7.3.8.5 and 7.3.8.6. (See also Note 15 in the text.)

X1.3.5.2 *Advantage*—The device and materials used to construct the device are removed reducing the potential for fluid movement from the surface to underlying materials and ground water.

REFERENCES

- (1) Gaber, M. S., and Fisher, B., *Michigan Water Well Grouting Manual*, Michigan Department of Public Health, Lansing, MI, 1988, pp. 83.
- (2) Roscoe Moss Company, *Handbook of Ground Water Development, Chapter 12, Water Well Cementing*, John Wiley, New York, NY 1990, pp. 232-252.
- (3) Dowell Division of Dow Chemical, U.S.A., *Engineer's Handbook*, Houston, 1983, p. 77.027.
- (4) Williams, Camila, and Evans, L., "Guide to the Selection of Cement, Bentonite, and Other Additives for Use in Monitor Well Construction," *First National Outdoor Action Conference on Aquifer Restoration, Monitoring and Geophysical Methods*, NWWA, Las Vegas, NV, 1987, pp. 325-343.
- (5) George, Charles, and Bruce, T., "Cementing to Achieve Zone Isolation in Disposal Wells," in *International Symposium Subsurface Inspection of Liquid Wastes*, NWWA, New Orleans, LA, March 3-6, 1986, pp. 77-89.
- (6) Anonymous, "Special Cements and Their Uses," *Concrete Construction*, Vol 18, No. 1, January, 1973, pp. 3-7.
- (7) Berry, E., and Malhortra, V., "Fly Ash for Use in Concrete—A Critical Review," *Journal of the American Concrete Institute*, Vol 77, No. 2, 1980, pp. 57-73.
- (8) Coleman, R. J., and Corrigan, G., "Fineness and Water-to-Cement Ratio in Relation to Volume and Permeability of Cement," *Petroleum Technology, Technical Publication No. 1266*, 1941, pp. 1-11.
- (9) Dowell Schumberger, *Cementing Technology*, Nova Communications Ltd., New York, 1984.
- (10) Creech, John, 1986, "Class I Injection Well Design Considerations Using Fiberglass Tubular and Epoxy Cement," *Proceedings of International Symposium Subsurface Injections of Liquid Wastes*, New Orleans, LA, March 3-5, 1986.
- (11) Cole, Robert C., "Epoxy Sealant for Combating Well Corrosion," *International Symposium on Oilfield and Geothermal Chemistry*, SPE of AIME, Houston, 1979.
- (12) Jeffries, S. A., "Bentonite-Cement Slurries for Hydraulic Cut-Offs," *Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering*, Tenth International Congress, Vol 1, Stockholm, 1981, pp. 435-440.

- (13) Herndon, Joe, and Smith, Dwight K., "Settling Downhole Plugs: A State-of-the-Art," *Petroleum Engineer International*, Vol 50, No. 4, April 1978, p. 56.
- (14) Smith, D. K., Cementing, "Society of Petroleum Engineers Monograp Series," Vol 4, *Society of Petroleum Engineers of AIME*, 1976.

- (15) U.S. EPA, "Construction Requirements for Wells Authorized by Rule," 40 CFR 147.2912b(1), (*Subpart GGG, Osage Mineral Reserve, Class II Wells*), 1988.
- (16) Portland Cement Association, *Design and Control of Concrete Mixtures*, Skokie, IL, 1979.

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, 1916 Race St., Philadelphia, PA 19103.

Confidential under FOIA
 Alex Rothchild
 LFR
 Sep 30, 2009 09:39

Confidential under FOIA
Alex Rothchild
LFR
Sep 30, 2009 09:39